Form 1

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD SECOND DIVISION

Award No. 11441 Docket No. 11090-T 88-2-85-2-212

The Second Division consisted of the regular members and in addition Referee Peter R. Meyers when award was rendered.

(International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Burlington Northern Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

- 1. That in violation of the current Agreement, the Burlington Northern Railroad on seven (7) occasions in the month of June 1984, did arbitrarily assign a Machinist Helper to perform work which contractually and historically accrues to the Electrical Craft at its West Burlington Diesel Repair Facility.
- 2. That accordingly, the Burlington Northern Railroad be directed to compensate Electrician Helper S.M. Connolly of West Burlington seven (7) hours at the punitive rate which when broken down amounts to one (1) hour at that rate for each of seven (7) violations.

FINDINGS:

The Second Division of the Adjustment Board upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the employe or employes involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute waived right of appearance at hearing thereon.

As third party in interest, the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers was advised of the pendency of this dispute and filed a Submission with the Division.

In June 1984, Claimant worked as an Electrician helper at Carrier's West Burlington Diesel Repair Facility. On seven occasions in June 1984, a Machinist helper at West Burlington washed and cleaned electrical equipment with a Proceco machine. The Organization filed a time claim on Claimant's behalf, arguing that this work belongs to the electrical craft.

This Board has reviewed the evidence in this case, and we find that the Organization has not met its burden of proof. There has been no showing that the work performed by the Machinist craft belongs exclusively to the Claimant. Therefore, this claim must be denied.

Award No. 11441 Docket No. 11090-T 88-2-85-2-212

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Second Division

Attest:

Nancy J. Dever - Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 30th day of March 1988.