# NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

# SECOND DIVISION

The Second Division consisted of the regular members and in addition Referee Dudley E. Whiting when the award was rendered.

# PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

# SYSTEM FEDERATION NO. 99, RAILWAY EMPLOYES' DEPARTMENT, A. F. of L. (Carmen)

### ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY

#### DISPUTE: CLAIM OF EMPLOYES:

(1) That under the current agreement the following carmen were improperly compensated at the straight time rate for service performed on the dates as shown opposite their names:

C. G. Green —August 22, 1953 C. J. Restoff —August 11, 1954 W. A. Tripplett—December 24, 1953 January 2, 1954 April 22, 1954 May 4, 1954

(2) That accordingly the Carrier be ordered to compensate the aforesaid carmen additionally in the amount of four (4) hours pay at the straight time rate for each of the above dates.

EMPLOYES' STATEMENT OF FACTS: Carmen C. G. Green, C. J. Restoff, and W. A. Triplett, hereinafter referred to as the claimants, regularly asigned to work on the repair track, E. St. Louis, Illinois, from 7:30 A. M. to 3:30 P. M., Monday through Friday, with rest days of Saturday and Sunday, were instructed by the foreman to relieve train yard car inspectors who were off on their annual earned vacation on the following dates and shifts, and the claimants returned to their regular assigned position on repair tracks as shown below:

most twevle years should have been accepted by the Board as evidence of the proper interpretation of the agreement. The findings of the Board in Awards 1806 and 1807 were fundamentally wrong and should not be followed as a precedent.

There is no basis for the claim in this dispute, and it should be denied.

FINDINGS: The Second Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the employe or employes involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employe within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

The parties to said dispute were given due notice of hearing thereon.

Disposition of this claim is governed by our Award No. 2440 (Docket No. 1996).

# AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of SECOND DIVISION

ATTEST: Harry J. Sassaman Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 3rd day of June, 1957.

DISSENT OF LABOR MEMBERS TO AWARDS NOS. 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2504.

We are constrained to dissent from the majority findings in the above-enumerated awards for the reasons set forth in our dissents to Awards Nos. 2083, 2084, 2197, 2205, 2230, and 2243.

It is our considered opinion that Awards Nos. 1514, 1806, and 1807 of the Second Division should have been followed and the overtime rates embodied in the schedule agreements should have been applied.

R. W. Blake
Charles E. Goodlin
T. E. Losey
Edward W. Wiesner
James B. Zink