

Award No. 2667  
Docket No. 2512  
2-CGW-FT-'57

**NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD**

**SECOND DIVISION**

The Second Division consisted of the regular members and in addition Referee Dudley E. Whiting when the award was rendered.

---

**PARTIES TO DISPUTE:**

**CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY**  
**SYSTEM FEDERATION NO. 73, RAILWAY EMPLOYEES'**  
**DEPARTMENT, AFL (Federated Trades)**

**DISPUTE: CLAIM OF CARRIER:**

Claim that the Carrier is requiring employees to submit to physical examination in violation of Rule 34 of the Shop Crafts Agreement effective February 1, 1924 (Reprinted June 1, 1954).

**CARRIER'S STATEMENT OF FACTS:** In letter dated September 19, 1955, signed by 16 general chairmen, request was made upon carrier's president for a joint conference to discuss various "unsettled matters". Conference began at Kansas City, September 29, 1955, at which time the subject of the instant dispute was included on a large docket of claims and grievances. At no time either prior to, during, or subsequent to conference, was claim handled with lower officers of appeal as provided by Rule 27(a) of agreement (hereinafter referred to as Shop Crafts' Agreement) effective February 1, 1924 (Reprinted June 1, 1954) between the Chicago Great Western Railway Company and employees represented by organizations composing System Federation No. 73, reading as follows:

"Should any employee subject to this agreement believe he has been unjustly dealt with, or any of the provisions of this agreement have been violated, the case shall be taken to the foreman, general foreman, master mechanic or shop superintendent, each in their respective order, by the duly authorized local committee of the organization signatory hereto or their representative."

Even though claim had not been handled with "foreman, general foreman, master mechanic or shop superintendent, each in their respective order", as required by Rule 27 (a) of the Shop Crafts' Agreement, carrier without prejudice thereto discussed claim with the employees in an effort to avert threatened strike action. However, claim was subsequently included in Strike Docket and Ballot dated January 30, 1956, which was actually submitted to the em-

ant to Article V, Section 5, of the agreement of August 21, 1954, has been challenged by the carrier in the courts.

It is, therefore, our position that until the courts have determined this matter and until these disputes have been handled as provided in Section 3, First (i) of the Railway Labor Act, as Amended, they are not properly referable to your Board.

**FINDINGS:** The Second Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the employe or employes involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employe within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

The parties to said dispute were given due notice of hearing thereon.

This case is identical with that disposed of by our Award No. 2665 (Docket 2510), so it governs the disposition of this claim.

#### AWARD

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD  
By Order of SECOND DIVISION

ATTEST: Harry J. Sassaman  
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 26th day of November, 1957.