### 365

# NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD SECOND DIVISION

### PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

## SYSTEM FEDERATION NO. 41, RAILWAY EMPLOYES' DEPARTMENT, AFL-CIO (Electrical Workers)

### THE CHESAPEAKE AND OHIO RAILWAY COMPANY

### DISPUTE: CLAIM OF EMPLOYES:

- 1. That the current holiday agreements were violated when the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway Company refused to compensate Electrician William R. Hayes for an additional eight (8) hours pay at the time and one-half rate for services performed on September 6, 1965,
- a holiday, which was also his Birthday-Holiday.
  - 2. That accordingly the Carrier be ordered to additionally compensate Electrician Wm. R. Hayes, eight (8) hours pay at the time and one-half rate for September 6, 1965, a Holiday.

FINDINGS: The Second Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the employe or employes involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employe within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute waived right of appearance at hearing thereon.

Claimant was required to work eight hours on Labor Day, which was not only a holiday but also his birthday. He received eight hours pay for the Holiday, as well as a like amount for his birthday and eight hours pay at the time and one-half rate for working on that day.

Petitioner contends that Claimant is entitled to another payment at the time and one-half rate since he performed work on both his birthday and the Holiday. We disagree. The parties plainly anticipated this specific situation in Article II Section 6 (f) of their February 4, 1965 Agreement, which provides that "If an employe's birthday falls on one of the seven holidays named in Article III of the Agreement of August 19, 1960, he may, by giving reasonable notice to his supervisor, have the following day or the day immediately preceding the first day during which he is not scheduled to work following such

holiday considered as his birthday for the purposes of this Section."

Claimant did not exercise his option to celebrate his birthday on a date other than Labor Day and there is no sound basis here for awarding duplicate payments for the same eight hours work.

In line with Award 5218 and the many other awards cited therein that have passed upon precisely the same issue and rules as are now before us the present claim will be denied.

#### AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of SECOND DIVISION

ATTEST: Charles C. McCarthy Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 13th day of October, 1967.