

Form 1

Award No. 6545  
Docket No. 6418  
2-C&O-CM-'73

Parties to Dispute:

Dispute: Claim of Employees:

- ### Findings:

(c) In the restoration of forces senior laid off employees will be recalled and will notify the recalling officer in writing, within 10 days of receipt of notice, of his intention to return. Those failing to notify of their intention to return

as provided herein or failing to return within a reasonable time will forfeit their seniority on the roster on which recalled.

- (d) The local committee will be furnished a list of men to be restored to service.
- (e) Eliminated.
- (f) Effective May 1, 1956. When positions are abolished and subsequently restored within thirty (30) calendar days, the last regularly assigned incumbent must return to their former position unless:
  - 1. They have been displaced thereon by a senior employee under Rule 60 $\frac{1}{2}$ .
  - 2. There is a senior qualified furloughed man entitled to recall ahead of the former incumbent.

When an employee returns to reestablished position under this paragraph, all employees disturbed account the abolishment must return to their former assignments in the same manner as herein provided."

There is no dispute over the facts of this grievance, the matter in dispute must be determined by an interpretation of the above written contract language. The positions abolished were restored within 30 calendar days; therefore Rule 27 (f) must apply. Rule 27 (f) is a specific rule that is an exception to the general rule of Rule 27 (c). Whenever there is a conflict between the specific language and general language in a contract, the specific language will apply.

However in reading Rule 27 (f) we note there are 2 exceptions within 27 (f). The Organization contends that exception 2 applies in that at 11 PM on June 17, 1971 there were senior qualified men on furlough that were entitled to recall, and the facts disclosed that this was true. Therefore under Rule 27 (f) exception 2 the Claimants were entitled to be called back ahead of less senior employees.

#### A W A R D

After a careful consideration of all the evidence and upon the foregoing findings of fact the Organization's grievance in the behalf of John D. Chafins, Shannon Jaynes and Hershel Bias is sustained. The carrier

Form 1  
Page 3

Award No. 6545  
Docket No. 6418  
2-C&O-CM-'73

will immediately offer to pay Chafins, Jaynes and Bias one day pay each for the carrier's failure to call them back before less senior employees on June 17, 1971.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD  
By Order of Second Division

Attest: \_\_\_\_\_

*E. A. Kellen*  
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 28th day of June, 1973.