NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD Award No. 7448 SECOND DIVISION Docket No. 7342-T 2-BNI-FO-'78

The Second Division consisted of the regular members and in addition Referee Herbert L. Marx, Jr. when award was rendered.

System Federation No. 7, Railway Employes' Department, A. F. of L. - C. I. O. (Firemen & Oilers)

Burlington Northern Inc.

Dispute: Claim of Employes:

Parties to Dispute:

1. Burlington Northern, Inc., violated provisions of the current Agreement between the Burlington Northern, Inc., and the International Brotherhood of Firemen and Oilers, specifically Rule 44(c), as well as Agreement of September 10, 1958, between the former Great Northern Railway Company and the International Brotherhood of Firemen and Oilers, when the following-named employes, employed at Havre Car Shop working under the Carmen's Agreement, were directed by Burlington Northern, Inc., Management to service passenger trains passing through Havre, clean and supply cabooses on Havre Caboose Track:

| Ange lo Pizzini | Kenneth Sargent |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| Howard Black | Norman Andrews |
| Clyde Sargent | Kenneth Christopherson |

2. That, accordingly, the Burlington Northern, Inc. be ordered to compensate the following named-employes, all employed at Havre, Montana, seven and one-half $(7\frac{1}{2})$ hours each at the straight time rate for the date shown following employe's name:

| W. D. McLaughlin | June 1, 1975 |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| H. D. Rowin | June 2, 1975 |
| J. Carpenter | June 3, 1975 |
| M. A. Collins | June 4, 1975 |
| L. C. Ehry | June 5, 1975 |
| D. S. Manaras 👘 | June 6, 1975 |
| J. B. McMaster | June 7, 1975 |
| J. G. Eiler | June 8, 1975 |
| D. L. Unruh | June 9, 1975 |
| R. T. Tucker | June 10, 1975 |
| D. L. Fanning | June 11, 1975 |
| J. K. Co d den | June 12, 1975 |
| A. G. Hanson | June 13, 1975 |
| A. Gatzmeier | June 14, 1975 |
| D. J. Brazer | June 15, 1975 |

Form 1

Form 1 Page 2 Award No. 7448 Docket No. 7342-T 2-BNI-FO-'78

Findings:

The Second Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier or carriers and the employe or employes involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employe within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

Parties to said dispute waived right of appearance at hearing thereon.

Upon thorough review of the record, the Board finds that the Organization failed to sustain its burden of proof that Laborers have exclusive rights to the work involved at the Havre, Montana, location.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Second Division

Attest: Executive Secretary National Railroad Adjustment Board

By______ Rosemarie Brasch - Administrative Assistant

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 24th day of January, 1978.