### NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

### THIRD DIVISION

### PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

# UNITED TRANSPORT SERVICE EMPLOYEES

## THE BALTIMORE AND CHIO RAILROAD COMPANY

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company is not applying the agreement correctly when they compensate employes in extra service to Cumberland and return under the provisions of Article 6(a) instead of Article 8(a).

Claim is that employes involved be now compensated the difference between what they received and what they would have received under the proper application of the agreement. Further, that the Carrier be ordered to properly comply with the Agreement.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, after giving the parties to this dispute due notice of hearing thereon and upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein;

That the dispute was certified to the Third Division of the Adjustment Board ex parte by complainant party; and

That hearing thereon has been held but not concluded. Under date of April 4, 1956, the Petitioner addressed a formal communication to the Secretary of the Third Division requesting withdrawal of this case from further consideration by the Division, which request is hereby granted.

#### AWARD

Case dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of THIRD DIVISION

ATTEST: A. Ivan Tummon Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 20th day of April, 1956.