Award No. 11423
Docket No. TD-13016

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

(Supplemental)

Martin I. Rose, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

AMERICAN TRAIN DISPATCHERS ASSOCIATION

MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the American Train Dispatchers Association that:

(a) The Missouri Pacific Railroad Company, hereinafter referred to as "the Carrier", violated the currently effective Agreement between the parties, Article 3(b) specifically, when it declined and continues to decline to regularly assign a relief train dispatcher and compensate him in accordance with the provisions of Article 3(b) in its train dispatching office at Chester, Illinois, where relief requirements regularly necessitate four (4) days' relief service per week.

(b) The Carrier shall now compensate Mr. C. A. Mathis one day's compensation at the rate applicable to trick train dispatcher for each of the following dates: January 8, January 15, and January 22, 1961, and compensate Mr. R. D. Stahlheber one day's compensation at the rate applicable to trick train dispatcher for each of the following dates: November 25, December 4, December 11, December 18, December 25, 1960, and January 1, 1961, on which dates they were deprived of work to which they were contractually entitled under the Agreement.

EMPLOYES' STATEMENT OF FACTS: There is in effect an agreement between the parties to this dispute effective August 1, 1945, reprinted March 1, 1955 and subsequently amended. A copy of this agreement and subsequent amendments are on file with your Honorable Board and by this reference are made a part of this submission as though they were fully set out herein.

The agreement rules particularly pertinent to this dispute are quoted here for ready reference.

"Article 1

"(a) Scope

This agreement shall govern the hours of service and working conditions of train dispatchers. The term 'train dispatcher,' as here-

All matters contained herein have been the subject of discussion in conference or through correspondence between the parties hereto on the property.

(Exhibits not reproduced.)

OPINION OF BOARD: The parties agreed at the Referee Hearing that the issue presented for determination by this claim is the same as the issue raised in Award 11407. Consequently, and for the reasons stated in that award, this claim must also be denied.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
By Order of THIRD DIVISION

ATTEST: S. H. Schulty
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 22nd day of May 1963.