NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 19477
Docket Number TE-18559

Frederick R. Blackwell, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks, (Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employes ((Formerly Transportation-Communication Division, BRAC)

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Southern Pacific Company (Pacific Lines)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the General Committee of the Transportation-Communication, BRAC, on the Southern Pacific Railroad (Pacific

Lines), that:

- 1. Carrier violated the terms and intent of the current Telegraphers' Agreement commencing during January and February, 1967, and continuing on each of three shifts daily thereafter at Colton, Indio and El Centro, California, and Yuma, Arizona, when it removed Wire Chief work at those stations from the employees to whom it belongs and required or permitted it to be performed by employees not subject to our Agreement.
- 2. As a consequence of these violations, the Carrier now shall be required to:
 - (a) Restore said work to employees subject to our Agreement at Colton, Indio, El Centro and Yuma.
 - (b) Commencing sixty (60) days retroactive from date you receive this claim, and continuing until violations cease, for each of three shifts each consecutive calendar day, at Colton, Indio, El Centro and Yuma, pay a day's pay at straight-time Wire Chief rate in effect at that station as of March 1, 1967, to the senior qualified extra Wire Chief on the Los Angeles Division who is available for each shift; or,

When no qualified extra is available for a shift pay eight (8) hours at overtime rate of his position to the senior qualified regular assigned Wire Chief employee available due to observing rest day at or nearest to that station.

Rates referred to above subject to general changes in Wire Chief rates during the life of this claim.

Each of the following regular assigned Wire Chief employees or his or her successor, are claimants:

· 1. 3. 4. 4

STATION	NAME	POSITION	REST DAYS
COLTON: " " INDIO: "	W. C. Edwards M. E. Smith F. R. French L. G. Cundiff D. G. Dent R. L. Stewart J. E. Senn L. Rosenfield	1st WC-PMO-C1k 2nd WC-TC-PMO 3rd WC-TC-PMO Relief WC-TC-PMO 1st WC-TC-PMO 2nd WC-TC-PMO 3rd WC-TC-PMO Relief WC-TC-PMO	Sat. & Sun. Mon. & Tue. Thur. & Fri. Tue. & Wed. Sat. & Sun. Mon. & Tue. Thu. & Fri. Tue. & Wed.
EL CENTRO: " YUMA: " "	R. E. Clifford R. J. Mitchell R. A. Martin G. W. Lichte R. S. Bothun H. D. Hodges J. P. Ray *Unassigned W. T. Huey R. L. Metzger	Relief WC-TC-PMO Colton-Indio lst WC-TC-PMO 2nd WC-TC-PMO 3rd WC-TC-PMO Relief WC-TC-PMO MGR-WC-PMO-Clk 2nd WC-TC-PMO *3rd WC-TC-PMO Relief WC-TC-PMO Relief WC-TC-PMO Relief WC-TC-PMO Yuma-Indio-El Centr	Fri. & Sat. Sun. & Mon. Tue. & Wed. Fri. & Sat. Wed. & Thur. Sat. & Sun. Mon. & Tue. Fri. & Sat. Wed. & Thur.

- (* Next regular assigned incumbent to become a claimant upon acquiring this position, and his successor likewise.)
 - (c) Consent to and cooperate in a check jointly with this Union to determine the facts in any dispute of fact which arises in the course of settling this claim, including but not necessarily limited to identification of proper claimant for each claimant, and certification that all work has been restored.

CARRIER DOCKET: TEL 152-1573 - BU-13483/53

OPINION OF BOARD: This is a Scope case in which it is alleged that work belonging to Telegraphers under their Agreement with Carrier was performed by employees outside the Agreement at Colton, Indio, and El Centro, California, and Yuma, Arizona. The claim seeks an award, commencing sixty (60) days retroactive from date of receipt of claim, and continuing until alleged violations cease, at straight-time Wire Chief rate for each of three shifts at the specified stations.

Third party notice was given to Railway Employees Department, AFL-CIO, the representative of the employees alleged to have performed the disputed work.

FACTS

Prior to this claim the equipment involved in this dispute, i.e., multiplex carrier equipment, was located in telegraph offices at Colton, Indio, and El Centro, California, and Yuma, Arizona. Wire Chief employees at these stations performed the work of testing, patching, and regulating the multiplex equipment during its normal operation.

In January and February, 1967, the Carrier replaced the long distance telephone lines affecting these offices with a micro wave system.

CONTENTIONS OF PARTIES

Petitioner filed claim after the January and February, 1967, changeover, asserting that, during the changeover, the multiplex equipment was removed
from each of these offices and relocated in nearby huts, and that the work of
testing, patching, and regulating the equipment was transferred to employees
not covered by the Telegraphers' Agreement. The basis of the claim, to which
both parties addressed a substantial volume of pro and con evidence, is that
such work belonged to Wire Chiefs under the Scope of the Agreement and also
under an oral agreement covering the specific work.

Carrier's position is that particular dates and specific violations have not been alleged and, further, that the work is not exclusively reserved to Wire Chief employees under the Telegraphers' Agreement. In addition, Carrier denied that equipment was removed from offices and relocated in huts and specifically stated that "some, but not all, equipment was removed from these offices; however, it was not relocated in the Microwave Huts but was either retired or diverted for use elsewhere on our system." (Carrier letter, August 23, 1968.)

Carrier took the further position that the equipment changeover had caused "no basic" change in Wire Chief work at the involved offices. In this regard Carrier's Assistant Superintendent of Communications submitted the following statement:

"There has been no basic change in the testing & regulating procedures performed by Wire Chief at Colton; Indio, El Centro & Yuma. They continue testing & regulating of physical wires from the Wire Chiefs switchboards in their offices. Test tone levels are run into mods & checked at demods. They continue checking of E & M dialing as well as the telegraph carriers circuit serving their offices. In making these checks the wire chief continue to use the basic test meters that have always been assigned each office.

No equipment moved to Hut."

Award Number 19477 Docket Number TE-18559

Page 4

The General Chairman's position regarding the above was stated as follows in a letter of June 3, 1968:

"With reference to the Memo handwritten and 'signed' with rubber stamp 'GEM Jun 12 1967', which allegedly was furnished by Assistant Superintendent of Communications Mr. G. E. Moss, I told you in conference January 19 that the statements made therein were inaccurate, except that I said I was going to check further concerning the allegation that Wire Chiefs at stations in dispute 'continue checking of the E&M dialing as well as the telegraph carriers circuit serving their offices.' I have found it true that the equipment remaining in their offices does make it possible for them to check E&M dialing. This, however is a very minor portion of the overall testing, regulating and patching work formerly done by them."

RESOLUTION

Though the lack of allegations as to particular dates and specific violations was raised on the property, Petitioner's submission to the Board states that "the Employees were unable to determine when the alleged violations were occurring." In view of Petitioner's admitted inability to supply the specifics which are necessary to adjudicate this claim on the merits, we are constrained to deny the claim for lack of essential specificity.

In our recent Award 19416 (Cull), involving these same parties, we sustained claims which showed the specific dates that employees not covered by the Agreement had performed wire chief work in the form of patching telephone circuits at the switchboard, Richmond Station. But in the same Award we denied the claims for violations alleged to have occurred on "subsequent" dates by ruling that:

"... in line with similar precedent established by awards of S.B.A. 553 (these parties) the claim for dates subsequent to December 4, 1962, must be denied for lack of specificity."

The record before us is equally lacking in specificity and we shall deny the claim.

Award Number 19477 Docket Number TE-18559

Page 5

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, after giving the parties to this dispute due notice of hearing thereon, and upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: C.U.K.Illen

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 17th day of November 1972.