

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 19952  
Docket Number X-19527

John H. **Dorsey**, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen  
PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (  
(The Illinois Central Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Illinois Central Railroad Company:

On behalf of Signal Maintainer **D. W. Henry** for six (6) hours additional pay at pro rata rate for each date June 12, 19, 26, and July 3, 1970; and on behalf of Signal Maintainer **T. L. Hirte** for additional pay at pro rata rate for one (1) hour and forty-five (45) minutes on June 12, six (6) hours each date June **19** and July 1, four (4) hours July 2, and six (6) hours **July 3**, 1970, account Carrier required them to suspend work of their regular assignment and patrol track which is customarily and traditionally done by regular assigned track patrolman not covered by the Signalmen's Agreement and who is represented by the Maintenance of Way Employees' organization. (Carrier's File: 135-222-167, Case No. 256 Sig.)

OPINION OF BOARD: The basis of the claim is that patrolling **track is** outside the Scope of the Signalmen's Agreement and that Carrier's action of assigning such work to Signal **employees** was in violation of: (a) the Scope Rule; (b) Classification Rule 107; and (c) Rule 208, Suspension of Work to Absorb **Overtime**.

Work outside the Agreement may be assigned to employees covered by the Agreement. See, for example, Awards No. 11923, 12793 and 15478.

Rule 107, relied upon by the Petitioner, does not support the claim.

We find no evidence in the record to support a finding that Claimants were "required to suspend work during regular working hours to absorb overtime."

For the foregoing reasons we will deny the claim.

**FINDINGS:** The **Third** Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the **whole** record and **all the** evidence, finds and holds:

**That** the parties waived oral hearing;

**That** the Carrier and the **Employees** Involved in this dispute **are** respectively Carrier and **Employees within** the meaning **of** the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

**That this** Division of the **Adjustment** Board **has** jurisdiction over the dispute Involved herein; and

**That** Carrier did **not** violate the Agreement.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of **Third** Division

ATTEST: A.W. Paulson  
Executive Secretary

**Dated** at Chicago, **Illinois**, this 26th **day** of September 1973.