

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 20166
Docket Number CL-20333

Joseph A. Sickles, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (The Kansas City Southern Railway Company
(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship
(Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and
(Station **Employees**

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: (as made by the Organization):

Claim of L. L. Boggs, **Texarkana**, Texas for **eight (8) hours** overtime on March 26, 29, 30, 31; April 1, 2, 1971, account Carrier using Yellow Cab Taxi Service to deliver waybills to SSW, **MP** and T&P Railway connections.

OPINION OF BOARD: **Claimant** asserts that Carrier violated the agreement when it removed way bill delivery from the Clerks' **Agreement** and contracted with a taxicab company to perform such work.

The Carrier filed intention to submit an Ex **Parte** Submission to this Board, which action, according to the Organization, shifted the burden of proof. This Board has consistently held that the party seeking allowance of a claim must shoulder the burden. Accordingly, absent any authority to support the position, we are not prepared to **rule** that the burden of proof is altered because the Carrier invoked the jurisdiction of this Board.

The Parties have presented a number of issues, and numerous Awards for our guidance; **all** of which have been thoroughly reviewed. On the property, Claimant originally asserted a violation of the Scope Rule, and stressed Rule.47. In one document, Claimant asserted that Carrier's action violated **Rules** 1, 2, 39(b), 47 and **49(b)**. However, during the handling on the property the Carrier was not advised on the nature of the alleged Rule 49(b) violation. Rule 49(b) is as follows:

"(b) Where the duties of a particular position materially decrease in volume, justifying abolishing a position, the remaining duties will be distributed **among** remaining positions doing comparably rated classes of work."

In the **documents** presented to this Board, the Organization relies heavily upon the Scope Rule and Rule 49(b). The Submission is, to a great extent, testimonial, asserting that a messenger position was abolished in 1957 and the work of that position was transferred to other clerical positions, which work was then transferred to **a taxicab company in** 1971. While Rule 49(b) was mentioned, during the handling on the property, the alleged facts of position abolition and resultant theories of violation were not. While the Board might, in individual cases, be **persuaded** to focus its **attention** solely upon the alleged violation of the Scope Rule (which was urged on the property) under this record we are precluded from doing so. In its Reply to Carrier's Submission, the Organization states:

"Furthermore, the Organization only relied on the Scope Rule to identify the positions of Time Desk Clerk as coming under the scope of the Clerk's Agreement, for the purpose of applying the governing Rule 49(b)..." (Double underscoring supplied)

We do not, in any **manner, minimize** the Organization's very crucial concern over work possession. But, the Organization must not only cite rules during the handling of the dispute on the property, it must offer the proof **necessary to sustain a finding that** the Rule was violated. It may not, any **more** than **Carrier may**, wait until the jurisdiction of this Board is invoked, to present its proof. The factual matters **submitted** to us should have been **submitted** to Carrier, so that Carrier could have presented **information** it felt material. **Upon** the entire record, we are compelled to dismiss the claim for failure of proof.

Inasmuch as the claim **is** disposed of on procedural grounds, we do not rule on other matters raised by the parties.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the **Adjustment** Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employees** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employees** within the **meaning** of the Railway Labor Act, as approved **June** 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

Award Number 20166
Docket Number CL-20333

Page 3

That the claim is dismissed.

A W A R D

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
By Order of Third Division

ATTEST:

A. W. Paulsen
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 28th day of February 1974.