NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 20223
Docket Number MW-20102

Dana E. Eischen, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

ĺТ

Ì

(Port Terminal Railroad Association

STATEMENT OF CLAW: Claim of the System Committee of the Brother-hood that:

- (1) The Carrier violated the Agreement when it called and used other than Section Foreman F. Ross and his crew for overtime **ser**vice on December 12, 1971 (System File Time Claim **MW-72-2**).
- (2) Section Foreman F. Ross, Trackmen Kary Thomas, G. Mejia, John Hickman and Jesse Adams each be allowed four and one-half (4-1/2) hours' pay at their respective time and one-half rate because of the violation referred to within Part (1) of this claim.

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimants herein, a foreman and four trackmen, constitute a regulary assigned section gang in the employ of Carrier. These employes are assigned to work Mondays through Fridays with Saturdays and Sundays designated as rest days.

On Sunday, December 12, 1971 an engine derailed on the claimants' section territory near the Crown Petroleum Company. The claimants were at home, available and qualified to perform the work incident to rerailing.

Carrier did not call claimants but rather called and used another foreman and four **trackmen** to **rerail** the engine and to replace a broken rail. This gang, under Foreman D. Walker, worked from 7:00 A.M. to 11:30 A.M.

In the foregoing circumstances, Petitioner asserts that Carrier violated the Agreement, Rules 14(L), 15(I) and 16(A), reproduced in pertinent part as follows:

"RULE 14:

(L). WORK ON UNASSIGNED DAYS. Where work is required by the Association to be performed on a day which is not a part of any assignment, it may be performed by

"an available extra or unassigned employee who will otherwise not have forty (40) hours of work that week; in all other cases by the regular employee.

RULE 15:

(1). Employees notified or called to perform work before or after but not continuous with the regular work period will be allowed a minimum of two hours and forty minutes at time and one-half rate for two hours and forty minutes work or less. If held on duty in excess of two hours and forty minutes (2'40"), time and one half will be allowed on the minute basis.

RULE 16:

(A). Except as otherwise provided in this rule, employees who are required to work on their assigned rest days and the following holidays--namely, New Year's Day Washington's Birthday, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas (provided when any of the above holidays fall on Sunday, the day observed by the State, Nation or by Proclamation shall be considered the holiday) shall be compensated therefor at the rate of time and one-half with a minimum of two hours and forty minutes (2'40"), as per paragraph I of Rule 15."

Carrier premises its failure to call the regularly assigned employees essentially on the bare assertion that an emergency situation existed which ${\tt necessitated}$ disregarding its contractual obligation under Rule 14(L). In this connection, Foreman Walker allegedly was in ${\tt the\ immediate}$ area of the derailment at the time it occurred and readily available.

Having asserted the affirmative defense of emergency, Carrier assumes the burden of establishing on the record that one did in fact exist. The record made on the property contains no probative evidence to support Carrier's assertion that there was an emergency involved herein. In the absence of such probative factual information we find that Carriers defense of "emergency" fails for lack of proof; ipso-facto, Walker's proximity to the derailment is of no evidentiary consequence.

Carrier has proven no recognizable defense for its failure to call the regularly assigned employees. The claim for four and one-half hours pay at the **respective** overtime rate for each of the claimants is sustained.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjust-ent Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

The Agreement was violated.

AWARD

Claim sustained.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 30th day of April 1974.



1.