## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

#### THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 20581 Docket Number CL-20617

Robert A. Franden, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline **and** Steamship (Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and (Station Employes

## PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

## (Missouri Pacific Railroad Company

**STATEMENT** OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (GL-7477) that:

1. Carrier violated the Clerks' Agreement and abused its discretion when it removed Mrs. P. D. Warren from its service at the end of her tour of duty on September 29, 1972.

2. Carriershall now be required to compensate Mrs. Warren for 8 hours' pay at the rate of \$37.31 per day, beginning October 2, 1972, and continuing for each work day, Monday througb Friday thereafter, until she is retuned to service with all rights unimpaired.

**3.**Claim is to include any subsequent increase in the rate of pay stated **above**, which **was** the rate of pay of the position she wan working on September **29**, **1972**.

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimant was employed by Carrier on May 3, 1972. As a condition of her employment, she was required to submit a letter of resignation, which reads as follows:

# Wichita, Kansas May 1, 1972

Mr. J. C. Love, Jr.:

Please accept **this** as my **resignation as a** clerk, effective September **29, 1972.** 

/s/ Phoebe Dean Warren Phoebe Dean Warren"

**On** August 8, **1972** Claimant wrote Carrier's superintendent the following letter **in** an attempt to void the **resignation** letter of May 1, **1972:** 

Award Number 20581 Docket Number CL-20617

Page 2

'Wichita, Kansas August 8, 1972

Mr. D. W. Welch, Supt. Kansas city, Missouri

Please **cancell and** return **my** resignation dated September 29, 1972.

/s/ P. D. Warren
P.D. Warren, Clerk
2662 Garland
Wichita, Kansas 67204"

It is the Carrier's contention that the letter of resignation was an **essential**. condition of Claimant's employment contract which could not be **unilaterally** changed. There is no question but that the signing of the May 1 letter of resignation was a **requirement** Claimant had to meet before being employed.

The Organization has put at issue the right of the **Carrier** to utilize letters of resignation to form the basia of the employment **term** as the Carrier did here. The Organization contends, inter **alia**, that the Carrler does not have the right to make individual employment contracts which contravene the provisions of the negotiated agreements. The **Organization argues** that after sixty (60) days of **service** the Claimant established seniority in accordance with the Agreement.

What are the rights of the Carrier in entering into individual employment contracts? There have been cited to us two United States Supreme Court cases which dealt with this issue, J. I. Case Co. v. National Labor Relations Board, 321 U.S. 332 and Order of Railroad Telegraphers v. Railway Express Agency, 321U.S. 342. Roth of the cases have held that the collective bargaining agreement must take precedence over contracts with individual employes.

In the instant matter, Rule **18 (a)** of the schedule agreement provides:

"An **employe** who has been **in** the **service more** than **sixty (60)** days, or whose application has been formally approved, shall not be disciplined or dismissed without first **being** given a fair and impartial investigation."

## Award Number 20581 Docket Number CL-20617

Page 3

Under normal circumstances the employment of Claimant could not be terminated without compliance with 18 (a) after she had been in the service of the Carrier for sixty (60) days dating from May 3, 1972. We do not believe the Carrier can deprive Claimant of her rights under 18 (a) by making a different "arrangement" with her at the inception of her employment. If the Carrier were allowed to do this with regard to the employment term why would the **same** reasoning not apply to other aspects of the employer-employe relationship?

An **Employe** has the right to resign at any time. Once the *Carrier* has accepted a resignation given without duress or coercion it may not be unilaterally withdrawn. A resignation obtained as a condition precedent to employment which deprives the **employe** of the protection of certain provision8 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement is clearly distinguishable.

The National Vacation Agreement was negotiated by the parties to give the Carrier relief from the problems of employing temporary help. Section 12(c) provides that a person hired for vacation relief help will not establish seniority for sixty (60) days. If this negotiated provision does not satisfy the Carrier's needs, then it is a matter for the bargaining process.

We are cited Award No. 9 of **PLB** No. 400, Brotherhood of **Railroad Trainmen v.** Missouri Pacific Railroad Company, which award held valid a resignation submitted when hired. Without **commenting** on the efficacy of that award, we note that it states "This handling applies to **summer** employment only and does not extend to men who hire **out for** other than **summertime** jobs". The case *a*thand involves some five months **commencing** in mid Spring and ending in early Fall.

Inasmuch as we find that the Agreement **between** the parties must take precedence **over** the individual employment contract we must find that the manner of terminating the Claimant should have been in accordance with that Agreement.

<u>FINDINGS</u>: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning of *the*Railway Labor Act, **as** approved June 21, 1934; Award Number 20581 Docket Number CL-20617 Page 4

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction wet the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was violated.

<u>A WARD</u>

Claim sustained.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

.....

ATTEST: Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 17th day of January 1975.