NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 20649 Docket Number SG-20549

Francis X. Quinn, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific

(Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood

of Railroad Signalmen on the Chicago, Milwaukee,

St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company:

On behalf of Signal Maintainers A. F. Booth and H. F. Miller for the difference between time and one-half and double time pay on August 5, 1972 as follows:

Booth: 3:00 A.M. to 12:00 Noon, and

Miller: 8:00 A.M. to 12:00 Noon. /Carrier's File: F-1082/

OPINION OF BOARD: At the heart of this dispute is Article V of the Mediation Agreement of November 16, 1971. **The** words used in Article V are to be taken in the ordinary and popular sense, unless from the context it appears to have been the intention of the parties that they should be understood in a different sense.

Article V provides for double time after 16 hours' continuous service in any 24 hour period computed from the starting time of the **employe's** regular shift. **This** simply means that in computing double time for work in excess of 16 continuous hours of service, the starting time of an **employe's** regular shift constitutes the starting point of the 24 hour period.

The record indicates that Claimant A. F. Booth was deserving of double time pay cm August 5, 1972 from 3 A.M. to 12 Noon. His claim will be sustained. Accordingly Claimant H. F. Miller did not **fulfill** the requirements of a 24 hour period. Therefore his claim is denied.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

Award **Number** 20649 Docket Number **SG-20549**

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

 $\,$ That the Agreement was violated to the extent shown in Opinion.

<u>AWARD</u>

 $\,$ Claim sustained to the extent indicated in the Opinion and Findings.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

TTEST: _________

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 21st

day of March 1975.