NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Fumber 20708

Docket Number SG-20548

Joseph A. Sickles, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

Missouri Pacific Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Missouri Pacific Railroad Company for and on behalf of the following named members of Signal Gang 1412, Houston, for an additional payment of six (6) hours each at time and one-half their respective straight-time hourly rate, account work of their assignments was denied them when the Carrier aseigned another Craft (Electricians) to install MeterLoops (a part of a Flasher Signal installation) on poles they had set at Buffsmith, Texas, on September 6, and at Pinehurst, Texas, on September 7, 1972, in violation of the Scope Rule of the Signalmen's Agreement:

| Employee | Classifica+ion | S.T. Rate of Pay |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| C. L. Kemp A. J. Latiolais A. F. Newman D. L. Findlay | Foreman Signalman Assistant Assistant | \$1075.62 per mo. 5.02 per hr. 4.25 per hr. 4.14 per hr. |
| | | |

General Chairman File: M 206. Carrier File: G 225-6227

OPINION OF BOARD: In their Submissions to this Board, both parties have referred to the record in Docket W-20219. At Page 2 of its Submission, Claimant notss that this "...dispute is similar to one presented to this Board under our file NRAB-2568, and assigned Docket No. SE20219."

The claim in Docket **SG-20219** was dismissed in **ourAward No.** 20532. We have reviewed that Award and have noted that it **considered** the same issues and contentions (concerning the same Role governing these parties) as advanced in this record. For the **same reasons**recited in Award 20532, we shall dismiss this claim.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the claim be dismissed.

A W A R D

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: **Q.W. Paules**Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 30th day of April 1975.