NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

Award Number 20749 Docket Number SG-20605

William M. Edgett, Referee

THIRD DIVISION

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen <u>PARTIES TO DISPUT</u>E: ((The Texas and Pacific Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claims of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Texas and Pacific

Railway Company:

Claim No. 1

Claim of Signalman F. D. Randolph, Gang 1506, for reimbursement of expenses while relieving Signal Maintainer at Addis from September 18 through 30, 1972.

<u>/</u>General Chairman file: 141. Carrier file: B 315-6<u>1</u>/

<u>Claim No. 2</u>

Claim of Signalman F. D. Randolph, Gang 1506, for reimbursement of expenses while relieving Signal Maintainer at Addis from October 1 through 13, 1972.

/General Chairman file: 141. Carrier file: G 315-63/

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimant is the incumbent of a Signalman position on Gang 1506 which is headquartered at Addis, Louisiana. In September, 1972, he was taken from the gang and assigned to work the Addis Signal Maintainer position pending assignment of the successful bidder. He has filed expense accounts which Carrier has rejected, and bases his claim on Rule 16(a) of the Agreement. That Rule reads:

> "An employee sent to fill a temporary vacancy on a section or plant shall assume the rate of pay, if equal to or greater than his regular rate, and shall be subject to all rules applying to that position."

"Such employee will be allowed actual, necessary expenses while performing such service."

An important fact in this dispute is that the location of the temporary vacancy and Claimant's regular position were the same. Claimant maintains that he was able to work his regular position without incurring additional expense because while working on the gang he began and ended his work week at Addis but during the week often worked and stayed at locations remote from that point. His residence Award Number 20749 Docket Number SG-20605 Page 2

is some distance from Addis and Claimant says that he was unable to protect the Signal Maintainer position without living in a motel nearby.

There are two aspects of Rule 16(a) which are of principal importance in this claim. The first of these is that the rule refers to an employee <u>sert</u> to fill a temporary vacancy. Claimant was not <u>sent</u> to fill a temporary vacancy, he filled a temporary vacancy which existed at his headquarters point. A reasonable interpretation of the meaning of the rule is that it is to provide for occasions when an employee Award Number 20749 Docket Number SG-20605

Page 3

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 20th day of June 1975.

Dissent to Award 20749, Docket SG-20605

The Majority's **play** on semantics is so **thin** that it hardly deserves comment. Suffice it to say that we dissent.

•

1

1

W. W. Altus, Jr. Labor Member