NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 20846
Docket Number SG-20781

Francis X. Quinn, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(Norfolk and Western Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Norfolk end Western Railway

Company:

- (a) The Carrier violated the current Signalmen's Agreement, particularly the Scope and Rule **8** (1), **when 1t** used **employes** not covered by the Signalmen's Agreement to perform signal work at Portsmouth, Ohio.
- (b) The Carrier now pay Signal Maintainer Nelson Bellar eight and one-tenths (8.1) hours at his overtime rate of pay for the violations cited in part (a):
 - (1) On Friday August **18,** 1972, **an** electrician was called to replace an amber lamp at West Avenue, Portsmouth, Ohio, (2.7 hours).
 - (2) On Tuesday September 5, 1972, a switch tender installed an indication **lamp** in the switching signal at **the** switch tender shanty, West Avenue, Portsmouth, Ohio (2.7 hours).
 - (3) On Thursday September 21, 1972, an electrician **in**stalled an amber lamp at the witch tender shanty, **West** Avenue, Portsmouth, Ohio, (2.7 hours).

The foregoing, initiated under date of October 5, 1972, was supplemented by the following:

"This claim is filed as a continuing violation in accordance with Rule 52 (3) of the current Signalmen's Agreement dated October 1, 1957."

Under date of July 9, 1973, the foregoing was supplemented by the following:

"Below is a **list** of continuing **violations** that have occurred **since** the above **claims** were presented to the Carrier:

- (1) **On** Monday October 30, 1972, au electrician installed an amber **lamp** in the switching signal at the switch **tender** shanty, West Avenue Portsmouth, Ohio, (2.7 hours).
- (2) On Wednesday November 15, 1972, an electrician installed a red **lamp** in the switching signal at the switch tender shanty, West Avenue, Portsmouth, Ohio, (2.7 hours).
- (3) On Tuesday December 14, 1972, an electrician installed an amber lamp in the switching signal at the switch tender shanty, West Avenue, Portsmouth, Ohio, (2.7 hours).
- (4) On Thursday February 1, 1973, an electrician installed an amber lamp in the switching signal at the switch tender shanty, West Avenue, Portsmouth, Ohio $(2.7\ hours)$.
- (5) On Thursday March 1, 1973, an electrician installed an amber lamp in the switching signal at the switch tender shanty, West Avenue, Portsmouth, Ohio, (2.7 hours).
- (6) **On** Monday March 26, 1973, an electrician installed an amber **lamp** in the switching signal at the switch tender shanty, West Avenue, Portsmouth, Ohio (2.7).
- (7) **On** Wednesday May 2, 1973, an electrician installed an **amber lamp** in the switching signal at the switch tender shanty, West Avenue, Portsmouth, Ohio, (2.7 hours).

OPINION OF **BOARD:** Two previous awards, 20528 and 20690 involving the **same** parties **and** the same issue are clear in establishing that the Organization has the burden of proof in disputes such as this **involving** exclusivity. The record in the instant dispute contains **no** new material evidence which would persuade us **to** change the view expressed in these earlier awards. We find Awards 20528 and 20690 to be controlling and must deny the Claims herein.

FINDINGS: The **Third** Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

Award Number 20846 Docket Number SG-20781

Page 3

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Petitioner has failed to meet its burden of proof.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois this 24th

day of October 1975.