## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

## THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21077 Docket Number CL-20927

Francis X. Quinn, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and (Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employes ((formerly Transportation-Communication (Division)

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(Robert W. Blanchatte, Richard C. Bond and (John H. McArthur, Trustees of the Property (of Penn Central Transportation Company, (Debtor

**STATEMENT** OF CLAIM:

Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood, GL-7735, that:

- (a) The Carrier violated the Rules Agreement., effective September 1, 1949, particularly Article 27, when it assessed discipline of dismissal, later reduced to a suspension, on Claimant C. J. Sweeney, Agent at the Carrier's station in Kingston, Rhode Island.
- (b) Claimant C. J. Sweeney's record be cleared of the charges brought against him on September 17, 1973.
- (c) **Claiment** C. J. Sweeney be compensated for wage loss sustained during the period out of service.

OPINION OF BOARD: The Claimant was assigned as an Agent at Kingston Station, Rhode Island, and as one of his delegated responsibilities was to approve telephone calls that originated at that station. He was charged and found guilty of falsely approving certain calls as company business when the transcript indicated they were purely personal. Claimant contended that the calls were related to the damage to his car which occurred while engaged in company business, although he never reported such damage to the company. He was dismissed from service on October 3, 1973, and reinstated on February 9, 1974.

The assessment of discipline **in** this case was warranted by the record; however, we feel thirty **(30)** days was sufficient discipline under the particular circumstances, especially in view of Claimant's belief, although erroneous, that the calls were justified as company business.

FINDINGS: The **Third** Division of the **Adjustment** Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the **Adjustment** Board **has** jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

Claim disposed of per Opinion.

## A W A R D

Claim disposed of per Opinion and Findings.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 19th day of May 1976.