#### NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

#### THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21184 Docket Number CL-21160

Irwin M. Lieberman, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and ( Steanship Clerks, Freight Handlers, ( Express and Station Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Burlington NorthernInc.

**STATEMENT OF CLAIM:** Claim of the **System Committee** of the **Brotherhood** (GL-7776) that:

1. Carrier violated the Clerks' Working Agreement at Everett, Washington, by unilaterally removing a regular assigned employe from his regular position of D Clerk at Delta Yard to fill a vacancy on PICL Clerk position A-7 on December 28, 1973, rather than properly calling Claimant at overtime to fill the vacancy.

2.Carrier shall now be **required** to **compensateemploye**, Mr. W. L. **Blalock**, A-5**PICL** Clerk, **eight hours' overtime** at the A-5 rate of pay for **December** 28, 1973.

OPINION OF BOARD: The regular incumbent of position PICL No. A-7 at Everett, Washington, waa sick on December 28, 1973. Carrier moved the Relief Clerk from his D-2 Yard Clerk position to work the vacancy after he had already worked an hour at that, job. He was paid one hour overtime and eight hours straight time for the work that day; there is no dispute over his rate of pay. Claimant, who worked a different shift, contended that he was available on his rest time and should have been called at overtime for the assignment.

**Petitioner's position is grounded** on the theory that under the Ruler, regular assignments should not be disturbed except as a last recourse - and there was recourse here in that Claimant was available during his relief time. Petitioner relies on the second sentence of the rick leave rule, which provider in Section H:

## "Rule 55. SICX LEAVE

H. It will be optional with the Carrier to fill or blank a position of an employe who is absent account his personal sickness, and is receiving an allowance under this rule. If the Carrier elects to fill the vacancy, ruler of the agreement applicable thereto will apply. The right of the Carrier to use other employer on duty to perform the duties of the position of the employe absent under this rule id recognized."

\_\_\_\_\_

## Award Number 21184 Docket Number CL-21160

Page 2

Roth **parties** agree that Carria **exercised** it6 **rights under** the last sentence ". . .. to use other employer on duty to perform the dutie6 of the position of the employe absent under thi6 rule.... " but **disagree** 66 to the applicability of other rules. This dispute with respect to the filling of short vacancies is the latest in 6 series involving the same issue, between these parties. All of these cases are concerned with the applicability and interpretation of the ratio of Rates Agreement which gived the Carrier, 66 quid pro quo, "complete freedom in the assignment of Work .... " in this situation (Awards 20975, 20983, 20998 and 21092). As we 66id in the earlier disputes we cannot find any contractual basis for the result desired by Petitioner: the claim must be denied on the ground of res judicata.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

## That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

By Order of Third Division

That the Agreement was not violated.

# AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD a. W. Pauloe ATTEST :

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, **Illinois, this** 13th day of August 1976.