## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21333 Docket **Number** MW-21420

## Nicholas H. Zumas, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

to see each of the

(Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) The dismissal of **Machine** Operator C. **E.** Ward was without just **and** sufficient cause and **on** the basis of unproven charges (System File 1-5 (11)/D-105492 E-306-5).

(2) Machine operator  $C_{\bullet}$   $E_{\bullet}$  Ward be reinstated with seniority, vacation and all other rights unimpaired and he be compensated for all wage loss suffered; all **in** accordance with Rule 27(f).

OPINION OF **BOARD:** A review of this record, including the transcript of hearing, reveals that there was substantive evidence of probative value that Claimant, on the date of the incident, violated Rule "G"

**Even** though Dr. Flowers (who examined Claimant) was not present at the hearing, the Roadmaster testified that Dr. Flowers told him **immediately** after the *examination* that the **only** thing **wrong** with Claimant was that he was in a "stuperous drunk." Contrary to the assertion of the Organization, such testimony was not hearsay and was properly considered by Carrier. Dr. Flowers' statement to the **Roadmaster** was confirmed by a medical report of the doctor's examination, and that letter was made part of the record.

While there was testimony by Claimant's co-workers that they did not smell alcohol on his breath and did not see him take a **drink, this** Board, as has been held many times before, has neither the capability or the mandate to weigh conflicting testimony. It is limited to a determination of whether there was substantive evidence of probative value to warrant Carrier's action.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Rmployes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Rmployes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

Award **Number** 21333 Docket Number NW-21420 Page 2

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute **involved** herein; and

That the Agreement was **not** violated.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD **ADJUSTMENT** BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: AW. Pauls

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 30th day of November 1976.

