## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

## THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21558
Docket Number MW-21650

Robert M. O'Brien, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

- (1) The Carrier violated Article 6, *Rule 2* of Agreement **No.** D.P.-357 on November 6, **1974**, by not allowing Mr. **Relph N.** Heiney to *retain* his seniority as *Foreman* when affected by force reduction on November **5.1974** (System File **200-163/2579-6**).
- (2) Mr. **Heiney's** seniority as foreman be restored as of September 17, 1974.

OPINION OF BOARD: Carrier places its defense to this claim squarely on the contention that "an eugloye affected by force reduction, is required under Article 6, Rule 2, within ten days therefrom, to exercise seniority by displacing a junior employe in his seniority district and in the classified position FROM WHICH HE HAS BEEN DISPIACED and holds seniority, . . ." (Emphasis added.) Rule 2 actually states that, "Employes affected by force reduction will be required to, within ten (10) days thereof, exercise seniority by displacing a junior employe on their seniority district, and in classified wsitions in which they hold seniority." (Emphasis added.)

Thus Carrier would **add** to the **language** of the rule the **further**restriction, "from which he has been displaced". Absent such additional restriction, the rule &es not support Carrier's position in this case. Since such restriction is not found in the rule and this Board cannot add it to the rule, either overtly or under the guise of interpretation, the claim will be **sustained**.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes Within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; aud

That the Agreement was violated.

<u>AWARD</u>

Claim sustained.

NATIONALRAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

TTEST:

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 31st day of May 1977.

