## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21618 Docket Number CL-21399

David C. Randles, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks (Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employes

PASTIES TO DISPUTE:

(The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company

<u>STATEMENT OF CLAIM</u>: Claim of the System **Committee** of the Brotherhood (GL-7953) that:

(a) Carrier violated the **rules** of the current Clerks' Agreement at Fort Madison, Iowa, on Weduesdsy, March **27,1974**, when it wrongfully discharged Mr. Earl J. **Brammer** from service; and,

(b) Carrier **shall** now restore Mr. Earl J. **Brammer** back to active service with **all** his seniority rights aud other rights accruing thereto unimpaired; and,

(c) Carrier shall now pay Mr. Earl J. **Brammer** eight **(8)** hours at pro rata rate of his former position, Agent Train Order Clerk Position Number 6132, Plattsburg, Missouri, \$41.6390 per day, for Wednesday, March 27, 1974, and for each work day thereafter until he is properly restored to active service and violation is corrected.

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimant Earl J. Brammer was regularly assigned to the position of Agent Train Order Clerk at Plattsburg, Missouri. Following an investigation which was held on March 8,1974, the Carrier informed the claimant that he would be dismissed from service at the close of work, Tuesday, March 26,1974. Subsequently, the General Manager of the Carrier having received the transcript of record and review of the claimant's record, transmitted to the Organization the following determination by letter dated July 31, 1974: ". . ::it's our opinion the period of time he has been out of service is sufficient discipline and, therefore, we are authorizing Superintendent, who receives copy of this 'letter, to reinstate him with seniority and vacation rights unimpaired, but without pay for the time he has been out of service."

The Organization's claim is relative to payment for lost **wages** from the date **claimant** was dismissed until the time he was restored to service. The claim is based **upon a** violation of **Rule 47-A**.

"47-A. All claims or grievances shall be handled as follows;

(1) All claims or grievances **must** be presented in writing by or on behalf of the **employe** involved, to the officer of the Carrier authorized to receive same, within 60 days from the date of the occurrence on which the claim

Award Number 21618 Docket Number CL-21399

"or grievance is based. Should **any** such claim **or** grievance be disallowed, the Carrier shall, within 60 days from the date same is filed, notify whoever filed the claim or grievance (the **employe** or his representative) in writing of the **reasons** for such disallowance. If not so notified, the claim or grievance shall be allowed as presented, but this shall not be considered as a precedent or waiver of the **contentions** of the Carrier as to other similar claims or grievances."

Claimant complied with the mandatory requirements of Rule 47-A; however, the dispute arises over the fact that the Carrier officer authorized to receive the claim did not advise as the rule **requires**, "in writing of the reasons for such disallowance." The Carrier officer replied as follows:

> "Referring to your letter of May 22, 1974, file 74-M-184, requesting reinstatement of Agent Earl J. Brammer with all seniority rights and other rights accruing thereto **unimpaired** and pay for time lost. Your request for reinstatement as mentioned above is respectfully declined."

The denial of the claim by the Carrier does not advance any **reason** whatsoever for disallowing the claim. **The** language of Rule 47-A is clear, unambiguous and mandatory; it does not stipulate any exceptions whatsoever. It is clear that the Carrier did not meet its obligation pursuant to Rule 47-A.

<u>FINDINGS</u>: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record **and** all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier **and** the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier **and Employes** within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

**That** this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; **and** 

That the Agreement was violated.

Page 2

Award Number 21618 Docket Number CL-21399

Page 3

<u>a w a r d</u>

Claim sustained.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

A.W. Paulus Executive Secretary ATTEST:

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 29th day of July 1977.