NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21621 Docket Number SG-21622

David C. Randles, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Houston Belt and Terminal Railway Company

STATEMENT **OF CLAIM:** Claims of the General **Committee** of the Brotherhood of Railroad **Signalmen** on the Houston **Belt &** Terminal Railway Company:

On behalf of Signal **Foreman** G. S. Drake for an additional **payment** of **5** hours at **time** and one-half his straight time hourly rate, **\$1336.48** per month, the number of hours he was required to perform work of his gang outside working hours on January **19, 1975** this **payment** due **under** Rule **305** of the **Signalmen's** Agreement. <u>/G</u>eneral Chairman file H-2067

OPINION OF BOARD: G. S. Drake, the claimant, is a monthly-rated Signal Gang Foreman with assigned hours from 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. Monday through Friday with Saturday and Sunday his rest days. On Sunday, January 19, 1975, Signal Gang Foreman G. S. Drake worked with signal gang from 6:00 P.M. until 11:00 P.M. performing emergency signal work due to a crossing signal being hit by an automobile.

The claim of the Organization is that the claimant should be paid five hours at time and one-half his straight time hourly rate, \$1336.48 per month, for the time he spent working on January 19, 1975, which was beyond his normal working hours. To substantiate the claim, the Organization cites Rule 305 of the Signalmen's Agreement: <u>Rule</u> 305. "When overtime service is required of a part of a signal gang, the senior employe of the gang of the class involved, who are available and desire the work, will be given preference to it. The foreman assigned to such gang shall work and be paid overtime rate for the number of hours his gang works." The Organization notes specifically the last sentence, "The for- assigned to such gang shall work and be paid overtime rate for the number of hours his gang works.", in that the claiment in the instant matter is a foreman.

The Carrier counters the **claim** of the Organization by citing Role **602** of the **Signalmen's** Agreement which is a **special** rule relating to **monthly** rated **employes** and is directly applicable to the **claimant** who **is**, in **fact**, **monthly** rated. **The** Carrier contends that **Rule 305 is a general rule and that** Rule**602** is a **special rule** noting by referencing past decisions of **this Board** that a **special rule** supersedes a general rule. The **Board** in determining its decision in this matter shall consider Rule 602 in its entirety and then relate it to Rule 305.

"Rule 602:

(a). The following employes will be paid on the basis of a monthly rate as provided in Rule $\mathbf{600}_{\bullet}$

Signal Inspector
Signal Draftsman and Signal Designer
Signal Maintenance Foreman
Signal Gang Foreman
Signal Maintainer
Reliefman - Technician

(b). **Employes** paid on basis of **monthly** rate **will** not be required to perform ordinary maintenance or construction work on the sixth or seventh day (rest days) or holidays of their work week, but will perform emergency work as necessary to restore signal system interruptions. Time will be deducted if an **employe** lays off of his own accord.

(c). In the event such employes are required to perform ordinary maintenance or construction work on the sixth or seventh day or holidays of their assigned work week, they will be additionally compensated at overtime hourly rate. **Hourly** rates for **monthly** rated employes **will** be computed by dividing **monthly** rate by **211 2/3** hours. Future wage adjustments **will** be made on basis of **211 2/3** hours. If it is found that this rule &es not produce adequate compensation for certain of these positions **by** reason of the occupants thereof being required to work excessive hours, the salaries of these positions may be taken up for adjustment."

Rule 602 is a special rule delineating conditions of **employment** for monthly rated employes. It is specific in its delineation of when such employes shall be paid an overtime rate and also that such employes **will** perform emergency work as necessary as part of their monthly compensation. The claimant herein worked on an emergency basis on his rest day. Rule **602** (b) specifically states that he will <u>not</u> be paid Overtime. If it was not emergency work, he would be **paid overtime** - Rule 602 (c).

Page 3

By being specific when **monthly** rated **employes** shall be paid overtime, andwhenthey shall not, the Agreement, in and of itself, excludes said **employes from** overtime payment as delineated in **Rule** 305 which is general in nature.

In the instant matter the Contractnotonlydirectly expresses that monthly rated employes will not be paid overtime for emergency overtime work but also directly expresses that they shall be paid for overtime for ordinary maintenance or construction work beyond their normal work week.

Eased upon the specificity of Rule 602 and the fact of its being a Special Rule taking precedence over Rule **305**, a General Rule, the **Board** determines that the Contract was not violated.

FINDINGS: The **Third** Division of the Adjustment **Board**, upon the whole record **and all** the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment **Board** has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

The claim is denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

ATTEST:

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 29th day of July 1977.