## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21821
Docket Number CL-21722

James F. Scearce, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and (Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, (Express and Station Employes

PARTIES TO DISHITE:

(Kansas City **Terminal** Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CIAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (GL-8195) that:

- (1) Carrier violated the Agreement on March 12, 1975 when it required au employee not covered by the Clerks Agreement to perform the routine daily clerical work of a Yard Clerk by making a **physical** check of cars, determine the contents, obtain the numbers and make a report of **same** to the Yardmaster.
- (2) Carrier be required to compensate J. A. Morgan, Yard Clerk, for two (2) hours of overtime at the time and one-half rate for the **claim** date.

OPTNION OF BOARD: This case arises out of an exchange between the afternoon shift Yardmaster and the Switch Crew Foreman at the McGee Street Yard of the Carrier on Wednesday, March 12, 1975. The Union contends that the Switch Crew Foreman was instructed to perform work properly within the jurisdiction of the Yard Clerk classification, which is represented by Union.

The incident centered **around** the Carrier's efforts to respond to a customer by having five cars properly identified and positioned so that they might be moved to the **customer's** dock by 7:00 p.m. that day.

The five cars were located **and** verified at another yard operated by the Carrier (the Blue River Yard) by a clerk who made entries to that effect on Form 501-A. The five **cars** were repositioned in the **drag** (of **41** cars) next to the engine and the drag moved to the appropriate yard. All of this occurred prior to the incident prompting this dispute.

The yard to which the drag was relocated only has a yard clerk assigned during the hours 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday; that is the Claimant's assignment. This incident occurred after the Claimant had left for the day.

After arriving for work that afternoon, the Yardmaster endeavored to establish the location and proper positioning of the five cars. He contacted the Switch Crew Foreman, apparently by radio. His instructions to the Foreman are in dispute end the bone of contention which prompts this dispute.

The Yardmaster either -

(a) gave the For- the numbers of the cars end their proper location (next to the **engine)** and asked him to verify that they were there

or

(b) asked the Foreman to walk the drag and give him the numbers of the five cars immediately adjacent to the engine.

The **Union** contends that the Yardmaster did **(b)** above **and,** as such, was asking **him** to perform work properly **within** the scope of the Yard Clerk position. The **Union** initially relied to au **extent upon the** fact that a **claim** was filed by the **Switch** Crew **Foreman** for being required to perform out of his classification. This **demand,** however, was eventually dropped by the Foreman **and** his Union (UTU).

A review of the record, including the different versions of the communication between the Yardmaster and the Switch Crew Foreman failed to support the Union's position. It seems clear that the intent of the Yardmaster's request was to verify the precedent actions relative to carrying out this mission—the earlier identification and listing of the cars by the clerk at the Blue River Yard, and the realignment of the five cars and movement of the drag to the appropriate location. Such acts of verification are proper and do not represent an incursion into the work scope of the Yard Clerk.

<u>FINDINGS</u>: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole recordand all the evidence, **finds and** holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

## Award Number 21821 Docket Number CL-21722

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

The Agreement was not violated.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

ATTEST:

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 16th day of December 1977.