

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21829
Docket Number MW-22035

Don Hamilton, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way **Employes**
(St. Louis-San Francisco Railway **Company**

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood
that:

(1) The dismissal of Special Equipment Operator R. A. **Cordova** by **Roadmaster** D. R. Hall at 1:00 P.M. on March 1, 1976 was without just and sufficient cause and on the basis of charges which were not proven at the investigation held on March 19, 1976 (System File A-9473).

(2) Claimant **Cordova** shall now be allowed the benefits prescribed in Agreement Rule 9(c).

OPINION OF BOARD: The **Roadmaster** went to the job site and advised the Claimant **Cordova** that his expense reports were not in line. The parties argued over obtaining receipts for the meals and one word lead to another and subsequently the Claimant was **terminated**.

At the hearing, testimony was taken concerning daily **expenses** and the record keeping for the expenses. However, the following critical testimony is important to this case:

Q. Mr. Hall, I would like for you to tell me for what specific reason you fired Mr. **Cordova**. Z

A. He has a smart attitude and has problems with the foreman that work with him over going to eat. He doesn't take a noon meal, he goes to the switch where there is a town close to go eat.

A. The main reason I fired him was his calling me a horse's ass.

The record indicates that the Claimant was terminated March 1, 1976, and was returned to **service** by the Carrier March 29, 1976.

The record indicates that some discipline is warranted, but the discipline which was actually assessed by the Carrier is excessive.

It is held that the Carrier should compensate the Claimant for all time lost in excess of 15 days.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the **Adjustment** Board, upon the whole **record and** all the evidence, finds **and** holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes involved** in this dispute are respectively Carrier **and Employes** within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; **and**

That the discipline was excessive.

A W A R D

Claim sustained as indicated in the Opinion.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
By Order of Third Division

ATTEST:

A.W. Pauls
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 6th day of January 1978.

JAN 19 1978

REPTA