NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21964
Docket Number MS-22105

James F. Scearce, Referee

(D. E. Messenger

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific
(Railroad Company
((William M. Gibbons, Trust&e)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: This is to serve notice, as required by the rules of the National Railroad Adjustment Board, of my intention to file an ex parte submission on July 17, 1977, covering an unadjusted dispute between me and the Chicago Rock Island & Pacific Railroad Company involving the question:

CARRIER REFUSAL TO GRANT FIVB (5) WEEKS PAY FOR VACATION IN CALENDAR YEAR 1975 BASED ON CALENDAR YEAR 1974 OUALIFICATIONS OF FORTY-SIX (46) WEEKS WORK SERVICE.

OPINION OF BOARD: Petitioner occupied an official position as

Trainmaster prior to **November** 15, 1974; effective that date he was granted leave of absence to accept a position with the Federal **Department** of Transportation. Petitioner's claim before this Board seeks five weeks' pay **in** lieu of his 1975 vacation. At the time Claimant left Carrier's service he was a member of the Brotherhood of Railway and Airline Clerks; however, he was not working in a position coming within the scope of the Clerks' Agreement. His **claim for** five weeks' vacation pay for 1975 was **not** progressed under the terms and prwisions of any existing agreement; rather, it is sought under an alleged "policy" established for Company officials.

The record discloses that Petitioner, at the time his claim arose, was an officer of the Carrier. His claim for vacation pay is pursued under a "policy" designed to grant vacations to various Carrier officers.

Our jurisdiction arises from §3, First (i) of the Railway Labor Act:

"The disputes between an employee or group of employees and a carrier or carriers growing out of grievances or out of the interpretation or application of agreements concerning rates of pay, rules, or working conditions, including cases pending and unadjusted on the date of apprwal of this Act,

"shall be handled in the usual **manner** up to and including the chief operating officer of the carrier designated to handle such disputes; but, failing to reach an adjustment in this manner, the disputes may be referred by petition of the parties or **ty** either party to the appropriate division of the Adjustment Board with a full statement of the facts and all supporting data bearing upon the disputes."

"Employee" is defined by **§1.** Fifth, of the Act:

"The term 'employee' as used herein includes every person in the service of a carrier (subject to its continuing authority to supervise and direct the manner of rendition of his service) who performs any work defined as that of an employee or subordinate official in the orders of the Interstate Commerce Commission now in effect, and as the same may be amended or interpreted by orders hereafter entered by the Commission pursuant to the authority which is hereby conferred upon it to enter orders amending or interpreting such existing orders: Prwided, however, That no occupational classification made by order of the Interstate Commerce Commission shall be construed to define the crafts according to which railway employees may be organized by their voluntary action, nor shall the jurisdiction or powers of such employee organizations be regarded as in any way limited or defined by the provisions of this Act or by the orders of the Commission. The term 'employee' shall not include any individual while such individual is engaged in the physical operations consisting of the mining of coal, the preparation of coal, the handling (other than movement by rail with standard locomotives) of coal not beyond the mine tipple, or the loading of coal at the tipple."

It is generally recognized that Trainmasters are excluded from the definition of employe or subordinate official in the Orders of the Interstate **Commerce** Commission **(Ex** Parte 72) and in **the** Craft and Class Determinations of the National Mediation Board.

Petitioner's status, i.e., not being an **employe** or subordinate official at the tine his claim arose and not contending that his claim is bottomed on an occurrence arising from an antecedent status as an employe or subordinate official, precludes this Board from accepting jurisdiction of his dispute. The claim will be dismissed.

<u>FINDINGS</u>: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record **and** all the evidence, **finds and** holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier involved **in** this dispute is a Carrier within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as apprwed June 21, 1934, but the **Employe** is not an **Employe** within the meaning of that Act; **and**

That this Division of the Adjustment Board does not have jurisdiction **over** the dispute herein.

A W A R D

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: UW. Bules
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 15th day of March 1978.