NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 21989
Docket Number CL-21435

Robert M. O'Brien, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and (Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood, GL-7970, that:

- 1. Carrier **violated** the Clerks' Agre-t when it **removed** clerical work from the scope **of** the Agreement and failed to **assign** such **work** to employees **covered** by raid Agreement at **Grafton**, **West** Virginia.
- 2. Carrier shall pay Mr. R. R. Hoban au additional 8 hours' pay at the Yard Clerk's rate of pay beginning September 13, 1972, and each subsequent date, until the clerical work is assigned to employee(s) covered by Clerks' Agreement.

OPINION **OF BOARD:** The Organization contends that c-acing in 1958, and continuing thereafter, the Carrier removed work from the Clerks' Agreement, and allowed Yardmasters, employes not encompassed by the scope of the Clerks' Agreement, to perform this work; The clerical work involved, the Organization asserts, consisted of copying and preparing lists of freight cars; sorting and running up freight waybills; sorting and running up IRM cards; and filing freight waybills and IBM cards in designated racks and bins. It is the Organization's position that all of the foregoing clerical work, which is performed by Yardmasters at Grafton, West Virginia, is reserved to those clerical employes working at Grafton, West Virginia by virtue of the Scope Rule in the parties' applicable collective bargaining Agree-The Organization argues that such work baa exclusively and traditionally been performed by employes covered by the Clerks' Agreement throughout Carrier's system.

This **Board agrees** with the Organization that **the** work of copying and preparing lists of freight cars is work **exclusively reserved** to **employes** of the clerical craft and this work cannot be **assigned** to Yardmasters without this constituting a violation of the Clerks'

Agreement. Apparently, the Carrier also agrees with **the Organization's contention** as they have ordered Yardmasters to **discontinus** copying and preparing lists of freight care at **Grafton**, West Virginia, Save for the work of copying and preparing lists of freight care, **however**, this Board is not persuaded that Yardmasters at **Grafton**, **West** Virginia **are** performing work that is exclusively reserved to clerical employee assigned thereto.

This Board does not **find** from the record before us that Yardmasters are sorting and running up freight waybills and IBM cards in sequence as alleged by the **Organization.** The facts evidence that when the Yard Clerk has completed his track check end aligned the waybills **and IBM** cards in matching order, he **then turns** them wer to the Yardmaster. The tonnage of the cars standing **on** the yard tracks are indicated on the freight waybills. It **must** be emphasized that these **documents** are prepared by the Yard Clerk before he gives them to the Yardmaster. Yardmasters at **Grafton** do not **compute** tonnage.

It is the Yardmaster's responsibility to assure that cars are properly switched into the outbound trains. In exercising this responsibility he must determine that the total weight of the outbound train does not exceed the allowed maximum tonnage requirements. The Yardmaster uses the waybills and IRM cards 'to monitor the tonnage of the outbound trains as they are being built. By using the waybills and the attendant IRM cards in this manner, the Yardmaster is simply performing work incidental to his responsibility of assuring that the outbound train has been properly made up before departing the Yard. After the cars are switched from the yard tracks into the outbound train, the Yardmaster then places the waybills and IRM cards in a pigeon-hole rack in the same order that he directed the cars to be switched.

Based on the foregoing state of the record, this Board is not convinced that Yardmasters are performing duties exclusively reserved to clerical employes, notwithstanding the contrary opinion expressed by several Yardmasters. Yardmasters are simply not sorting or running up freight waybills or IBM cards in sequence as claimed. Nor are they filing these documents in designated bins as averred by the Organization. gather, the Yardmaster merely places them in a rack in the same order he directed the cars to be switched. This can hardly be considered filingaa that term is commonly understood.

Award Number 21989 Docket Number CL21435

This **Board** has carefully reviewed Third Division Award No. 18804 relied on by the Organization herein. However, the **work performed** by Yardmasters at Indianapolis, Indiana, which the **Board** there **concluded** belonged to clerical **employes** is clearly **distinguishable** from the disputed work performed by **Yardmasters** at **Grafton**, **West** Virginia. Accordingly, Award No. 18804 **lends no** support to the **Organization's** position in the instant claim.

It was incumbent upon the Organization to **prove** that **work** which is clerical in nature was being performed by **employes** not encompassed by the scope of the Clerks' Agreement. This **Board** concludes that the Organization has failed to sustain that burden imposed upon them. The claim mat be denied as a result.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning **of** the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment **Board** has **jurisdiction over** the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: W. Vaulue
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 31st day of March 1978.