NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

Award Number 22216 Docket Number Xi-22209

THIRD DIVISION

Don Hamilton, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

(Southern Pacific Transportation Company ((Pacific Lines)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: **"Claim** of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad **Signalmen** on the Southern Pacific Transportation Company (Pacific Lines):

(a) The **Southern** Pacific **Transportation Company** (Pacific **Lines) violated** the Agreement between the Carrier and its **Employes** in the Signal Department, represented by the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen, effective October 1, **1973, particularly** Rule **19, which** resulted in violation of Rule **72.**

(b) Claimants Fisher, Morey and Wise be compensated thirteen (13) hours each at their respective overtime rates of pay for March 7, 1976."/Carrier file: SIG 148-262/

OPINION OF BOARD: A derailment occurred Saturday, March 6,1976, causing damage to signal facilities. Signal maintainer Paulson, on whose maintenance district the derailment occurred, was unavailable and absent because of illness. Signal maintainers from adjoining maintenance districts were called to the derailment.

Emergencyovertime work was assigned to asignal gang regularly assigned to **perform** signal construction. The signal **maintainers** who filed this grievance allege that they should have been called for **emergency** overtime work.

The Carrier has attached **Exhibit** F to its **submission**. That Exhibit is a letter **from** the fo- General **Chairman** which states, in part,

"In the past, when **material** has been needed in **emergency** situations where **the work had to be performed on an over-time** basis, **employees** assigned to regular maintenance duties and subject to Rule **16** have been **called** to perform **the** work, with gang **men** used only when needed for heavy work or repairs."

Award Number 22216 Page 2 Docket Number SG-22209

In view of this acknowledgment by the former General Chairman, a denial **award** is the proper remedy in this case.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, **upon** the whole record and **all** the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties **waived** oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute -- are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the **meaning** of **the** Railway Labor Act; es approved **June 21, 193**¹;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

<u>a w a r d</u>

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: cutive

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 15th day of November 1978.