## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

## THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 22261 Docket Number SG-22232

Louis Yagoda, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:	(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen ( (Missouri Pacific Railroad Company
STATEMENT OF CLAIM:	"Claim of the General <b>Committee</b> of the Brotherhood
<u></u> -	of Railroad Signalmen on the Missouri Pacific

Railroad Company:

On behalf of Signalmen T. F. Tompkins, J. W. Damon and L. G. Dare, Signal Gang No. 1247, Poplar Bluff, Missouri, for a **meal** allowance of \$2.05 each on February 21, 1976; and on behalf of Signalman J. L. Bale, Signal Gang No. 1243, **Bismarck**, Missouri, for **meal** allowance of \$2.00 on February 29, 1976, under the provisions of paragraph (5) of the August 11, 1972 Agreement, and **Rule** 600(e) of the Agreement."

## /Carrier file: K 225-7087

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimants Tompkins, **Dawson** and Dare were called to perform signal repair work on their rest day, Saturday, February 21, 1976, at a point approximately five (5) miles from their headquarters point. They worked three (3) hours, from 5 a.m. to 8 a.m. Claimant **Hale** was called to perform the same **type** of work **on** his rest day, Sunday, February 29, 1976, and worked for six (6) hours and 42 minutes, from 2 a.m. to **8:42** a.m.

Claimants fail to supply Rule support for their claims for meal allowance for-each of these instances.

Paragraph 5 of the Agreement, dated August 11, 1972, invoked **by Employes**, explicitly provides that **employes** will not be reimbursed for meal expense when leaving and returning the same day. This was the situation here.

As for the exceptions in **Rule** 5 that the **same** day rule shall not apply unless the **employes** are required to leave headquarters two hours after assigned working hours, they have no application here. This is (as explicated in Award 20928) because the Rule specifies that the two hours referred to on either end are those on the same day; Award Number 22261 Docket Number SG-22232

they cannot be pre-linked to the Monday that is yet to coma (in one case here some 48 hours later; in the other, about 24 hours later).

**Employes** appear to presume **a** rule providing for meal payments for the act of working on rest days per se. No such rule has been shown to be present here.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

<u>a w a r d</u>

Claim denied.

NATIONALRAIL ROAD ADJUSTME

By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: Secretary Executive

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of December 1978.

Page 2