NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 22371 Docket Number Mw-22478

George S. Roukis, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of-Way Employes

(St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Company

<u>STATEMENT OF CLAIM</u>: "Claim of the **System** Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) The dismissal of **Trackman**P. R. Schofield **was without** just or sufficient cause and it was extremely disproportionate to the offense with **which** charged (System File **B-1199**).

(2) **Claimant** Schofield **shall** be returned to service and allowed all of the benefits prescribed within **Agreement Rule 91(b)(6).**"

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimant was charged with violating Maintenance of Way and Structures Rules 652 and 910 which are referencedhereinafter:

- Rule 652 "Employes who are careless of the safety of themselves or others will not be continued in the service."
- Rule **910** "Vehicles will be used **only** for **Company** business. . . . unauthorized persons must not be carried **in** vehicles."

An investigative **bearing** was held on **May 27, 1977 wherein** he was found guilty of the specifications and subsequently dismissed from service, effective, April 23, **1977**.

The **Organization** argues **on appeal that** Claimant was **terminated** without just or sufficient cause and in effect suffered a penalty that was **incommensurate** to the assertive violations.

Accordingly, consistent with our appellate responsibility, we **have** carefully **examined** the investigative record to determine whether Claimant was afforded a fair and impartial hearing. We find **nothing** in the record, after this review, that suggests bias or partiality.

Award Number 22371 Docket Number W-22478

Claimant had **ample** opportunity to present an effective affirmative **defense**.

In the instant case, **Claimant** hadrequested permission from the **Assistant Roadmaster** to drive the bus assigned to the System **Gang** from Stoutland to Sleeper, Missouri. The distance **was** seven (?) miles on a road which paralleled the railroad tracks. It was an uncomplicated short distance route.

Contrary to the explicit prohibitions set forth in Rules 652 and **910**, (supra) Claimant transported two (2) unauthorized *passengers*, who were in the vehicle at the time of the accident. One of them suffered a small cut over the right eye and a **small bruise on the** right side of the **nose**. The vehicle **was** severely damaged.

Claimant, whoalso sustained minor **injuries, was** taken to the hospital for injury diagnosis **and** treatment. A urine analysis revealed positive drug **findings**.

While Claimant is not being charged for **drug** or narcotic usage, the fact pattern configuration of his actions and condition are dramatically apposite Carrier's safety requirements. The **promulgation** of detailed and clearly stated safety rules and regulations like **Rules** 652 and **910** are specifically designed to **prevent the kind** of the problems that we are **now** considering.

It would **ill** serve the public interest or the integrity of these rules, if we disregard **their** application **in** the face of compelling confirmatory evidence.

Claimant picked up two (2) unauthorized persons, which by itself is a serious rule violation. It wee further compounded by the accident **and** urine analysis results. We find no **justification**, given **these** findings, to **modify** or Set aside **Carrier's dismissal determina**tion. We will thus deny the claim.

<u>FINDINGS</u>: The **Third** Division of the **Adjustment** Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

Page 2

Award Number 22371 Docket Number M-22478

That the Carrier and the **Employes involved in this** dispute are respectively **Carrier** and **Employes** within the **meaning** of the Railway Labor Act, **as** approved June **21, 1934;**

That **this Division** Of the **Adjustment** Board ha8 jurisdiction over the dispute **involved** herein; and

That the Agreement was **not** violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this **30th** day of March 1979.