NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

Award Number 22684 Docket Number CL-22838

THIRD DIVISION

Martin F. Scheinman, Referee

(Brotherhood of **Railway, Airline and** (Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, (Express and Station **Employes**

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Elgin, Joliet and Eastern Railway Company

<u>STATEMENT OF CLAIM</u>: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (GL-8788) that:

1. The **Carrier violated** the effective Clerks' **Agreement** when it refused to permit Clerk A. E. Wrobel to exercise his displacement rights **over** a junior **employe** effective with **the** date he **was** displaced, thereby depriving him of the work to which he was entitled;

2. The Carrier shall now compensate Mr. Wrobel for eight (8) hours' pay at the **time** and one-half rate of Position GT-1160-R for Nwember 4, 1977.

OPINIONOF BOARD: Claimant, A. E. Wrobel, was the regularly assigned incumbent of Position GT-522, a seven day position with assigned hours from 11:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M. and rest days of Sunday and Monday. Under date of Nwember 2, 1977, to be effective on November 4, 1977, Ms. Charlotte Carlin, an employe senior in service to claimant, exercised her displacement rights to Position GT-552. Claimant was thereby displaced from that position. Claimant, in turn, exercised displacement rights wer junior employe L. Lear, incumbent of Position GT-1160-R, also to be effective November 4, 1977. Position GT-1160-R is a relief assignment with the following work week:

Sunday	GT-552	11:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M.
Monday	Rest Day	
Tuesday	Rest Day	
Wednesday	GT-550	7:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M.
Thursday	GT-550	7:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M.
Friday	GT-554	3:00 P.M. to 11:00 P.M.
Saturday	GT-554	3:00 P.M. to 11:00 P.M.

Claimant was displaced on Friday, Nwember 4, 1977 and sought to make his displacement on **that** same date **in** order to avoid a loss of earnings.

Award Number 22684 Docket Number CL-22838 Page 2

The Carrier refused to honor Claimant's displacement on November 4, 1977, and instead, deferred it until the following day, Nwember 5, 1977. Thus, Mr. Wrobel was not an incumbent of any position on November 4, 1977. He seeks a day's pay for not being allowed to displace cm Nwember 4, 1977.

Carrier relies upon a 1959 case settlement for disposition of the matter. However, that settlement did not involve **an** identical fact situation.

The issue here is whether Claimant can exercise a **displacement** immediately upon actually being displaced. There is no evidence presented of such a prohibition. That being the case, Rule 42(a) is applicable to an **employe** moving from one assignment to-another (Award 20 of **the** Public Board 31 between these parties). **See** also Award 22636. The claim will be sustained.

<u>FINDINGS</u>: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived **oral** hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning of the Railway labor Act, as approved **June** 21, 1934;

That this Division of the **Adjustment** Board has jurisdiction **over** the dispute involved herein; and

The Agreement was violated.

<u>a w a r d</u>

Claim sustained.

100

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST. Executive Secretar

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 14th day of December 1979.