NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23040 Docket Number MW-23188

A. Robert Lowry, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

-)

[Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

(St. Louis-San FranciscoRailway company

STATEMENT OFCLAIM: "Claim of System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) The dismissal of **Equipment** Mechanics B. E. Hood and J. L. Wright was **unreasonable**, arbitrary and without just and sufficient cause (System File **B-1232**).

(2) The claimant.8 shall now be **allowed** the **benefits** prescribed in Agreement Rule 9(c)."

OPINION OF BOARD: This claim involves an alleged altercationbetween Division Engineer B. M. Lutzenberger and Messrs. B. E. Rood and J. L. Wright, the claimants, both employed as Traveling Maintenance of Equipment Mechanics, on the evening of October 4, 1978.

Both claimants were charged with violation of **Rule** 175 and the **first** paragraph of Rule 176 of Carrier's **Rules** for the Maintenance of Way and Structures, and were dismissed from service on October 7, **1978**. Formal investigation **was** held on November 2, **1978**. A copy of the transcript of the hearing was made **a** part of the record.

Rule 175 and the first paragraph of Rule 176 read as follows:

"175. Civil, mannerly deportment is required of all employes in their dealings with the public, their subordinates, and eachother. Boisterous, profane or vulgar language is forbidden. Courtesy and attention to patrons is required. Employes must not enter into altercations with any person, no matter what provocation may be given, but will make note of the facts and report to their immediate superior."

"176. Employes who are negligent or indifferent to duty, insubordinate, disbonest, immoral, quarrelsome, insolent or otherwise vicious, or who conduct themselves and handle their personal obligations in such a way that the railway will be subject to criticism and loss of good will, will not be retained in the service." Award **Number** 23040 Docket Number MM-23188 Page 2

The record clearly shows that an altercation occurred on October 4,1978, in the restaurant of the Pine Forest Motor Lodge and in the parking lot between Division Engineer Lutsenberger and the claimants.

The testimony of both claimants confirmed the altercation and their part in it. Carrier witnesses also confirmed claimants' involvment in the altercation, their use of **vulgar** and profane language as well as threatening Lutzenberger verbally and with a **wooden** stick or club one inch in diameter and 24 inches long. The Board finds the evidence produced at the investigation shows claimants were in violation of Carrier's Rules 175 and 176.

Claimants contend the altercation was Provoked by Lutsenberger. If claimants' contentions were correct they failed to conduct themselves in **accordance** with provisions of the last sentence of Rule 175, quoted above, **end** it **istoo** late now for retribution.

The record shows **(laimants were** reinstated on May 21, **1979**, without back pay with the **right** of the Organization to press this **claim**.

The **Board finds** that the record contains sufficient probative, credible and competent evidence to support the **Carrier's** action. There is **no proper** basis for the Board to interfere with the discipline imposed.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties **waived** oral hearing;

i

That the Carrier and the **Employes** Involved in this dispute are **respectively Carrier** and **Employes** within the **meaning** of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board **has** jurisdiction over the dispute involved **herein**; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

Award Number.23040 Docket Number MM-23188

Page 3

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

WW Vaulas Executive Secretary ATTEST:

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 28th day of October 1980.