NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23078 Docket Number SG-23098

Martin F.Scheinman, Referee

			(Brotherho	od of	Railroad Signalmen
PARTIES	TO	DISPUTE:	(
			(Seaboard	Coast	Line Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Seaboard Coast Line Railroad Company:

On behalf of **Signal** Maintainer **C. G.** Hall, for two (2) hours and forty (40) minutes at his **time** and one-half rate account called at **7:45** P.M. on June 11, **1978**, **but**refused to allow him **to** work." (General Chairman file: **128-C.** G. **Hall-78. Carrier** file: **15-16(78-9)** J)

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimant, C. G. Hall, is a Signal Maintainer for Carrier. On June llth, 1978, there was trouble on the Code Line at Orlando, Florida. The regularly assigned Signal Maintainer was not available for service. Therefore, the operator at Orlando called Claimant who was assigned on the adjacent territory. Claimant stated that he had already worked ll hours and 45 minutes on that date. He had only 15 minutes left to work before further, service would be in violation of the Hours of Service Law unless the work was an emergency. Claimant instructed the operator to inform the Dispatcher of his time status. Claimant waited for a return call from the operator but he did not receive one.

Carrier was able to secure another **employe** to perform the service. For this reason, as well as the fact that the work was not on **Claiment's** territory, Carrier refrained from exceeding the Hours of Service **Law**.

The Organization contends that pursuant to Rule 16(b), **Claimant** is entitled to receive a **minimum** call of two hours and forty minutes. While the employes acknowledge that Claimant never actually **performed** service on **June 11th**, 1978, it asserts that **Claimant** was called to perform **service**.

An analysis of the record and submission to this Board convinces us that the Organization's contention is without merit. **The** claim must be denied.

Under instructions of **the** Carrier Claimant was required to notify the **Dispatcher**, **not less** than one hour in **advance**, before **excessive** hours of service will. begin (Signal Instruction Letter No. 10). Here Claimant failed to advise the Dispatcher when previously released from service that he had only 15 minutes **left.** Had Claimant informed the Dispatcher of his status,

Award Number 23078 Docket Number SC-23098

Page2

as required, he would not have been **called by** the operator in the first place. That is, Claimant's failure to inform the **Dispatcher** was the <u>cause</u> of him receiving the **call.** Once Claimant's status was explained, **Carrier** reasonably chose to have another maintainer perform the work which was not on Claimant's territory.

Thus, we must conclude that Claimant is not entitled to receive a minimum call for June **llth**, **1978.** Compensation for this situation is not contemplated in Rule 16(b) as he was not called to **perform work.** Since Rule 16(b) is the only rule cited by the **Organization to** support its claim we will dismiss the claim in its entirety.

One final word. Before closing we must note that the operator failed to call back Claimant and **inform** him that another maintainer had been **called** to protect the call. We believe this to be inappropriate. We feel compelled to note that as a common courtesy, if nothing else, Carrier should insure that an employe in the position Claimant found himself in on June **llth**, receive an indication of Carrier's **decision** as to the call.

FINDINGS: The Third **Division** of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has **jurisdiction** over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

a.W. Paul ATTEST:

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

- 3

÷.

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 21st day of November 1980.