### NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

### THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23202
Docket Number SG-23296

Paul C. Carter, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(Chicago and North Western Transportation Company

"Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Chicago and Northwestern Transportation Company (Chicago and North Western Railway Company):

## Claim No. 1

Carrier file: D-9-17-38

- (a) On **or** about August 29 to September 11, 1978, the carrier violated the current Signalmen's Agreement, as amended, in particular **Rule** 60, when it dismissed **signal maintainers T.** W. Jones, W. D. Galussek **and** J. D. Flores, and District Signal Foreman R. C. Larsen, headquartered at **Proviso** Bump Yard, from service without just and sufficient cause on basis of unproven charges. Also without conducting a fair and impartial investigation.
- (b) Carrier now reinstate Messrs. Jones, Galuszek, Florea and Larsen to their former positions at the Proviso Bump Yard, with all seniority and other rights unimpaired, and compensate them for all time lost and expenses incurred since unjustly held from service, and clear their personal service record of the entire charge submitting such removal of discipline.

(NOTE: The claim is shown as submitted **on** the property. Messrs. Jones, Galuszek and Larsen have since been restored to service; therefore the claim is on behalf of Mr. Flores only).

# Claim No. 2

Carrier file: D-9-17-41 (for Williams) D-9-17-42 (for Saraparu)

(a) On or about August 29 to September 11, 1978, the carrier violated the current Signalmen's Agreement, as amended, in particular **Rule** 60, when it dismissed T. Saraparu and J. Williams from service without just and sufficient cause on basis of unproven charges, also without conducting a fair and impartial investigation.

(b)-Carrier now be required to reinstate T. Saraparu and J. Williams to their former positions with all seniority and all other rights unimpaired and compensate them for all time lost and expesses incurred since they were unjustly held from service, and clear their personal service record of the entire charge submitting such removal of discipline.

## Claim No. 3

Carrier file: D-9-17-37

- (a) **On** or about August 20 to September 11, 1978, the carrier violated the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen's **Agreement**, as amended, in particular **Rule** 60 when the carrier charged **Mr.** D. Floree with rule **violations** and dismissed him from service without just **and** sufficient cause, nor without conducting a fair and impartial investigation.
- (b) Carrier now be required to reinstate D. Florea **to** his **former** position with all seniority, and all other rights unimpaired and compensate him for all **time** lost and expanses incurred since he was unjustly held from service, and clear his personal record of the entire charge submitting such removal **of** discipline."

OPINION OF BOARD: The claims originally involved the dismissal of six signal employes following rather lengthy investigations. The parties are in agreement that the claims in behalf of Signal Maintainers T. W. Jones, W. D. Galuszek and District Signal Foreman R. C. Larsen have been settled. The claims in behalf of these three claimants will accordingly be dismissed.

The claims of the Organization in behalf of the three remaining claimants, J. D. Flores, T. Saraparu and J. Williams, are predicated on tha following objections ad issues:

- 1. Charges ware not precise.
- 2. Investigations not conducted by a supervising officer of the Signal Department.
- 3. Company surveillance of union representativea.
- 4. Hostile treatment of union representatives during the **investigations**.
- 5. Decision not by a supervisor of the Signal Department.
- **6.** Charge.5 not proven.

The Board has studied the entire transcript of the investigations, and finds no proper basis for listed Items 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6. Without attempting to detail the evidence adduced at the lengthy investigations, suffice it to say that we find substantial evidence to support Carrier's actions in dismissing claimants Flores, Saraparu and Williams.

As to Item5 listed above, the Board has beenreferred to its Awards Nos. 21230, 22277 and 22770 involving the same parties. Recognizing that there may have been a **technical violation** of the discipline rule of the Agreement in assessing the discipline following the investigations in our present case, and without attempting to overrule Awards Nos. 21230, 22277 and 22770, we do not consider the technical violation in this particular case to be of sufficient significance to invalidate the entire proceedings, in view of the seriousness of the offenses and the werwhelming evidence in support of the charges. That the claims in behalf or T. W. Jones, W. D. Galuszek and R. C. Larsen be dismissed; that the claims in behalf of J. D. Flores, T. Saraparu and J. Williams be denied.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employee involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claims disposed of in accordance with the Opinion.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 16th day of March, 1981.