## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

## THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23225 Docket Number SC-23204

Arnold Ordman, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(SouthernPacificTransportation Company

"Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Texas and Louisiana Lines of the Southern Pacific Transportation Company:

## Claim No. 1

On behalf of Signalmen P. R. **Norman** and P. L. **Shockey** for four hours' pay each at their respective straight time rate of pay account Carrier assigned electrical workers to place a service cable into a **signal instrument** case at mile post 191.1 on Nwember 1, 1978.

## Claim No. 2

On behalf of **Signalman** E. B. **Loden** for **eight hours'** pro rata pay **account** Carrier assigned an electrical **worker** to install a service cable into a **signal** instrument case at mile post 379.1 **at Del** Rio, Texas, **on** Nwember 17, 1978."

OPINIONOF BOARD: This dispute involves two claims which, though handled separately on the property, have been combined here because they present the same issue. Each of the claim seeks compensation for employes represented by Signalmen because Carrier assigned electrical workers to place a service cable into a signal instrument case. The Organization contends that the work in question belongs to the Signalmen's craft by virtue of the Scope Rule in their Agreement with the Carrier.

The Scope Rule reads in pertinent part as follows:

"(a) This agreement shall apply to work or service performed by the employees specified herein in the Signal Department, and governs the rates of pay, hours of service and working conditions of all employees covered by Article 1, engaged in the construction, installation, maintenance, testing, inspection and repair of wayside signals, pole line signal circuits and their appurtenances, ... and all other work generally recognized as signal work performed in the field or signal shops."

Docket Number SC-23204

To be sure, "installation . . . of wayside signals, pole line signal circuits and their appurtenances" is listed among the items of work or service performed by employes subject to the Signalmen's Agreement. But we do not read the quoted language as conferring a clear and express reservation of the work here in dispute to such **employes** to the **exclusion** of all others. In such situations it becomes necessary under established rules followed by this Board to look at custom, **Practice** and tradition to determine whether such exclusivity exists.

In the **instant** case Carrier submitted evidence that electrical workers had performed the kind of work here in dispute. The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers participated as a Third Party and filed a Submission in which not only wae it shown that electrical workers had done such work but the IBEW agreement was cited as ground for a claim that such work belonged to employes covered by the IBEW agreement.

In these circumstances we hold that Claimants have failed to carry their burden of establishing a violation here.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

. That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway labor Act, as apprwed June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 16th day of March 1981.