NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Averd Manber 23234
Docket Number W-2317)

Joeeph A. Sickles, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

Fort Worth and Denver Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLADA: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that,:

- (1) The Qurier violated the Agreement vhen it assigned an employe with no seniority in the Road Equipment Subdepartment (L. J. Arebalo) to the position of Auto Spiker Operator (#1231) as advertised by Bulletin no. 26 dated June 8, 1978 instead of assigning Machine Operator R. L. Estep thereto (System File F-16-78).
- (2) Because of the aforesaid violation, Machine Operator R. L. Estep be reimbursed for the additional expenses he incurred (\$31.47) filling a machine operator's position et Vernon, Texas."

<u>opinion of Board</u>: The **Claiment** bad no prier experience as a **machine** operator, **however he was placed**on the **"liner** operator" position effective June 12, 1978.

Prior to serving for e 30 day period, he bid on another position. Rule 7 specifies that employes who are prawted and who fall to qualify within a 30 day period will not acquire seniority ee e result of filling the position.

The position which the Claimant sought was filled by another individual who was senior to the Claimant as a laborer.

Inasmuch as the second individual was senior to the Claimant as e track laborer, it would seem that the only way the Claimant could prevail in this dispute would be to show that he had seniority as a machine operator. Yet, It appears clear from the referred to language above, that during the initial 30 day period the Claimant did not obtain and possess machine operator seniority. The Claimant did not show that he was qualified and thus, we have no alternative but to deny the claim.

Avard Number 23234 Docket Number MW-23174

Page 2

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Baud has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

MATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Franklyn Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 16th day of March 1981.