

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23255
Docket Number MW-23416

Paul C. Carter, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (**Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees**)
(**Seaboard Coast Line Railroad Company**)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) The **dismissal** of **Trackman V. S. Sinclair** was without just and sufficient cause and wholly **disproportionate** to the charge leveled against him (**System File 37-SCL-79-83/12-39(79-34)J**).

(2) **Trackman V. S. Sinclair** shall be **reinstated with seniority** and all **other** rights unimpaired and be **compensated** for all wage loss suffered."

OPINION OF BOARD: **Claimant** had been in Carrier's service about two and one-half years. At the **time** of the occurrence **giving rise** to the dispute herein, he was employed as a **trackman** with headquarters at Carrier's **Uceta Yard**, under the supervision of **Roadmaster Carpenter** and **Apprentice Foreman Rolle**.

On May 8, 1979, the **Uceta Yard** area was engulfed in a rain storm during which, it is said, as much as twelve inches of rain fell in the area. As a result, then, was much track underwater, and the **Carrier** advises a car was **derailed**. The **Carrier contends** that a bona fide **emergency** situation existed; the services of all employees were **crucial**, and the **Roadmaster** notified the foremen of employees under **his** jurisdiction that no one would be allowed to **leave their jobs until** he was able to determine the condition of the railroad and the weather **conditions**.

The gang to which claimant was assigned was left in charge of the **Apprentice Foreman as it was** necessary for the regular **Foreman of the gang** to go on the main line and inspect track. About **2:30 PM** the Claimant announced to the **Apprentice Foreman** that he was going **home** because he was wet. He was told that he could not go **home** because of the emergency situation and his services were needed.

According to the Carrier, the claimant **persisted** in his **determination** to leave the job and was repeatedly told that he could not go **home**.

When the claimant finally made the statement to the Apprentice Foreman that he was going home (although he did not have permission to do so) the Apprentice Foreman told him that before he went home, he should go to the Roadmaster's office. The claimant went to the Roadmaster's office, however, the Roadmaster was not available as he was out checking the condition of the track and yards. Claimant then apparently went home without permission from anyone.

Claimant was charged with desertion, insubordination and absence without permission. A formal investigation was conducted on May 22, 1979. A review of the transcript, copy of which has been made a part of the record, shows that the investigation was conducted in a fair and impartial manner. None of claimant's substantive procedural rights was violated. There was substantial evidence adduced at the investigation to support the charges against claimant. Also the claimant's prior work record, which was made a part of the dispute in the handling on the property end is properly before the Board, was far from satisfactory. He had previously been warned on five occasions and suspended on two occasions for violation of Rule 17(b) of the Agreement, which requires that an employee desiring to be absent from service must obtain permission from his foreman or the proper officer.

Claimant's actions in the present case, coupled with his prior record, fully warranted his dismissal from service.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employees Involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employees within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

--

Award Number 23255
Docket Number MW-23416

Page 3

That the Agreement was not violated.

A W A R D

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: A.W. Pauls
Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 15th day Of April 1981.