## · NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

Award Number 23330 Docket Number CL-23051

### THIRD DIVISION

### Martin F. Scheinman, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, **Airline** and Steamship Clerks, (Freight Handlers, **Express** and **Station Employes** 

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Southern Pacific Transportation Company (Pacific Lines)

# **STATEMENT** OF CLAIM: Claim of the System **Committee** of the Brotherhord (GL-8831) that:

(a) T&e Southern Pacific Transportation Company violated the Clerks' Agreement on November 2, 1977, at Eugene, Oregon, when it blocked egress from the property and held the followingemployes on Company property past their regular eight-hour shift: 0. A.Whitwer, E. M. Gile, T. W. O'Connell, K. L. Beazley, R. J. Olson, D. S. Knight and S. L. Estes.

(b) The Southern Pacific Transportation **Company** shall now be required to allow the above **named** Claimants fifteen minutes additional **compensation** at the **rate** of time and one-half at the rate of the regular positions, November 2, **1977**.

OPINION OF BOARD: The Organization claims that Carrier violated the Agreement when it blocked egress from the property on November 2, 1977, at Eugene, Oregon and the followingemployes were detained from leaving their regular eight (8) hour shifts: 0. A. Whitwer, E. M. Gile, T. W. O'Connell, K. L. Beazley, R. J. Olson, T. S. Knight and S. L. Estes. The Organization, therefore, claims fifteen (15) minutes additional compensationatthe overtime rate of tine and one-half.

The Employes contend that Carrier violated Rules 9, 20 and 21 of the Agreement. In relevant part, these rules state:

"Rule 9 - Day's Work and Work Week

(a) **Except** as otherwise provided in this article, eight (8) consecutive hours' work, exclusive of the **meal** period, shall constitute a day's work.

## Rule 20 - Overtime

(a) **Except** as otherwise provided in these rules time in excess of eight (8) hours, exclusive of the meal period, on any day will be considered **overtime and** paid on the **actual minute**basis at the nte of **time** and **one-half**. "Rule 20 - Overtime(continued)

(b) Work in excess of 40 straight time hours in any work week shall be **paid** for at one **and** one-half times the basic straight time **rate** except where such **work is performed by**an **employe** due to moving **from** one **assignment** to another or to or **from** a **Guaranteed** Extra **Board** (extra list in **Sen** Francisco General Offices), or where days off are being accumulated under paragraph (g) (3) of Rule **9.** See Note to this Rule.

Rule 21 - Notified or Called

(a) An **employe** notified or called to perform work not continuous with **the** regular work period shall be allowed a minimum of two (2) hours at **over**time rate for two (2) hours work or less, and if held on duty in excess of two (2) hours, the **overtime rate** shall be allowed on the minute basis. **Each** call to duty after being released shall be a separate call.

(b) An **employe** who has completed his regular **tour** of duty and has been released, and who is required to return for further service within less then one (1) hour following such release, shall be **compensated** as if on continuous duty."

The circumstances involved in **this** claim, must be addressed. **Claimants** used a designated parking lot provided by Carrier. Access to this parking lot is by means of a pedestrian subway under **some** of the yard **tracks**. However, at the west end of the subway, the footpath is crossed by **two** sets of surface **tracks** utilized occasionally for the purposes of switching **and** setting out **cars** to be **repaired**. **The** designated **parking** area is located on the other side of these **two** sets of tracks.

Claimants went off duty and left their work locations by means of the pedestrian subway on November 2, 1977, but were delayed approximately fifteen (15) minutes by yard switching operations blocking access to the parking lot.

It is undisputed that Claimants were **detained** when their access to the **parking** lot was blocked by switching **operations**. It is also clear that **the** inability to **immediately** get on the public streets **caused** an **inconvenience** to the **employes** involved. However, this **does**not necessarily mean that Claimants are entitled to compensation. Rather, the essential **question** is whether the inconvenience caused is a **violation** of the cited **rules** of the **Agreement**.

Page.2

Page 3

After analyzing the evidence presented, we must conclude that the rules do not entitle Claimants to compensation for the delay. Stated simply, the rules do not appear to warrant compensation.

Each of these rules contemplate compensation in a situation where there is either the performance of work orcompensation for the time utilized in connection with the performance of certain duties Of an assignment under the direction of carrier. Here, the circumstances cannot be viewed as overtime work or call service. As such, there is an absence of a specific rule to justify compensation. It is fundamental that this Board does not have the authority to compensate employes for an inconvenience absent a specific rule. See Award 19801.

Thus, given the **fact** that the **delay** here is **unusualand** similar in nature to the **delay** of **any** member of the public **detained** at **a** public grade crossing by a train blocking **traffic** on **public** streets or highways, we must conclude that these **parrow** set of **circumstances** do **not warrant sustaining** the claim. Therefore, we will deny the claim.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That tie Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Cerrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

## AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

ATTEST:

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 19th day of June 1981.