NATIONALRAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23377 Docket Number MS-23404

John B. LaRocco, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: ((United Transportation Union

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "That the 'Claim for lost pay of all MofW Employees on the Georgia Northern Railroad beginning April 1, 1979

intil proper payment was allowed (about June 27, 1979)' was not 8 properly
presented and handled claim by the United Transportation Union in accordance
with the Railway Labor Act as amended end the collective bargaining agreements between the parties, and that even if it were held to be properly
presented and handled, said claim is not payable under the applicable
agreement. "

OPINION OF BOARD: The Carrier petitioned this Board to review a dispute regarding time claims purportedly brought on the property by the Organization. The basic facts are undisputed. Between April 1, 1979 and August 27, 1979, twelve track employee were underpaid twenty five cents per hour. According to the applicable collective bargaining agreement, the twelve employes were to be paid 8 twenty five cents per hour cost of living adjustment commencing on April 1, 1979. Between February 16, 1979 and March 31, 1979, five bridge and building employes were over compensated thirty six cents per hour and between April 1, 1979 and August 27, 1979, these same employes were paid eleven cents more per hour than they were entitled to receive under the applicable agreement. On August 27, 1979, the Organization's General Chairman called 8 Carrier labor relations official and informed him of the above described shortages and overpayments. The carrier Insists that the telephone call on August 27, 1979 was the first time it learned of the improper payroll payments. After attempts by the parties to achieve a mutually satisfactory resolution of the problem proved fruitless, the Carrier (with notice to the Organization) unilaterally made payroll adjustments to compensate each of the twelve track employes' twenty five cents per hour during the sixty days prior to August 27, 1979 and to -up eleven cents per hour for the same sixty day period from the five bridge and building employes. The Carrier is now urging us to affirm its solution to the wage mispayment problem. The Organization has contested the Carrier's action.

The Carrier argues that the Organization did not institute 8 valid time claim until August 27, 1979 and, therefore, the employes can only claim retroactive pay for the sixty days preceding August 27, 1979 in accord with Article 10 of the applicable agreement. The Carrier further asserts that Award Number 23377 Docket Number MS-23404

it handled the problem in **an** equitable fashion by limiting its recovery of overpayments to the **same** Sixty day period though the **five** bridge and building employes **had** been overpaid since **February** 16, 1979. The Organization contends that **each** employe who **was** shorted filed 8 valid claim by informing the **Carrier** of the number of hours the employe worked. The number of hours worked **was**recorded for payroll purposes. Since time roll sheets were filed beginning on April 1, 1979, the **Organization** contends the **Carrier must** allow **all** retroactive **back** pay because it **failed** to respond to those alleged time roll **claims** within sixty days **as**required by Article **10(a)**. As to the bridge **and** building amployes, the **Organization** states that the overpayments are **unrelated** to the shortages so the former **cannot** be handled as part of a single solution.

After carefully reviewing the record, we do not find any evidence that the amployes **filed** the alleged **time** roll **claims** beginning on April 1, 1979. There are simply no documents or other probative evidence, beyond the Organization's bare assertions, to demonstrate the claims were filed. Thus, we need not consider whether or not 8 time roll constitutes 8 valid claim within the meaning of Article 10. On the basis of this record, the Organization first initiated a valid claim on August 27, 1979. Because the time bar in Article 10 prevents the amployes from recovering **back pay** except for the sixty days immediately preceding the filing of their claims, the Carrier acted reasonably in paying the twelve track employes twenty five cents per hour for the sixty day period prior to August 27, 1979. Similarly, the bridge and building employes have received more compensation than they were entitled to for the period from February 16, 1979 to August 27, 1979 even after considering the **Carrier's** deductions for the lest sixty **days** of **that** period. Contrary to the **Organization's** arguments, the **overpayment** to the five bridge and building employes **Was related** to the shortages since the improper wage payments arose from the **misapplication** of the same cost of living adjustment. The **Carrier**, thus, acted reasonably vhen it limited its adjustments for the overpayments to the sixty days prior to August 27, 1979. Accordingly, we deny the Organization's claim for more **retroactive** beck wages for the track employes 8s well as its claim to recover back the overpayments the **Carrier has** recouped from the five bridge and building amployes for the sixty **day** period before August 27, 1979.

FINDINGS: The **Third** Division of the Adjustment Board, after giving the **parties** to this dispute due notice of hearing thereon, and upon the whole record and **all** the evidence, finds and holds:

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, 8s approved June 21, 1934;

Page 2

<u>____</u>

Award Number 23377 Docket Number MS-23404

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement wasnot violated.

A WA R D

 $$\ensuremath{\mathsf{The}}\xspace$ Carrier's petition is sustained to the extent consistent with our Opinion.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

a.W. Paulos

ATTEST:

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 15th day of September 1981.