NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23408 Docket Number MW-23412

Paul C. Carter, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: ((The Washington Terminal Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) The dismissal of Trackman Calvin L. Chapman for alleged 'Excessive Absenteeism' was without just and sufficient cause and wholly disproportionate to the charge leveled against him.

(2) Trackman Calvin L. Chapman shall be reinstated with seniority unimpaired and compensated for all wage loss suffered."

<u>OPINION OF BOARD</u>: Claimant had been in Carrier's service approximately two years. On July 25, **1979**, he was notified to report for a hearing on August 3, 1979, on the charge:

"Excessive absenteeism when you were absent from work for all or part of the following **dates:**

February, 1979	14,26
March, 1979	5,26
April, 1979	5
May, 1979	9,25
June, 1979	5, 13, 18, 20 11, 16, 18
July, 1979	11, 16, 18

"You may be accompanied by any witnesses of your own choosing and your duly accredited representation without expense to the Washington **Terminal** Company. Please be advised that it is your **sole** responsibility to contact your representative and not that of the Washington **Terminal** Company. You **will** be expected to be present throughout the **entire** hearing and you and your representative may **cross-examine** the witnesses."

The hearing was held as scheduled, **and** on August 8, 1979, claimant was dismissed from **service**.

In the investigation conducted on August 3,1979, a transcript of which has been made a part of the record, claimant **stated** his absences were due to "sick;" "woke up not feeling well;" "bowel movement trouble": "trouble with back." No medical evidence was submitted to show that claimant was not able to work because of illness.

Award Number 23408 Docket Number MW-23412

Page 2

The record also shows that claimant was disciplined on four prior occasions for absenteeism - a 5-day suspension, a 15-day suspension, a 30-day suspension, and another 15-day suspension. It is **apparent** that the prior discipline did not have the desired effect.

Based upon the record, we **find** no **proper** basis for the Board to interfere with the discipline imposed by the Carrier.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, after **giving** the parties to this dispute due **notice** of hearing thereon, **and** upon the whole record and all the evidence, **finds and** holds:

That the Carrier and the Employes Involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the **Adjustment** Board has **jurisdiction** over the dispute involved herein; **and**

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

a.W. Prulos ATTEST:

LACUUIIVE Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 3rd day of November 1981.

EES 1010