NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

Award Nuder 23421 Docket Number CL-23288

THIRD DIVISION

John B. LaRocco, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:	(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and (Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, (Express and Station Employes
	Southern Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (GL-8948) that:

Carrier violated the Agreement at Washington, D. C., when on April 21, 1978, it unjustly suspended Ms. Z. B. Brice, Executive Clerk, Marketing and Planning Department employee, from service without pey for • period of five days, beginning April 24, end extending through April 28, 1978, for en alleged failure to properly protect her assignment on April 21, 1978.

For this violation, the Carrier shell be required to compensate Ms. Z. B. Brice for all monetary losses sustained during that unjust suspension period.

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimant, an executive clerk, was given a five_day suspension for reporting late to work and failing to protect her assignment. On April 21, 1978, claimant arrived at the Carrier's office at 8:40 a.m. which was ten minutes after her 8:30 a.m. assigned starting time. Later that day, the Carrier disciplined the claimant for her tardiness. The claimant informed her supervisor that she was tardy because the subway she rides had broke down. At the claimant's request, the Carrier held en investigation on May 2, 1978 in accord with Rule C-l of the applicable agreement. After the investigation, the Carrier declined to revoke the discipline.

Claimant concedes that she **was** ten **minutes** late on April 21, 1978, but she contends her tardiness should be excused due to the unavoidable interruption of service on the public transportation she **rides** to work. At the investigation, the transit authority (by letter) **confirmed** that a breakdown occurred on the rail line **claimant** takes to work. The breakdown disrupted service for seventeen minutes. **The claimant** argues **that** but for the **service** interruption she would have timely protected her **assignment**. **The** Carrier asserts that the claimant has the ultimate responsibility to report to work on time. **While** the Carrier admits that claimant should have left hone earlier in case she encountered unanticipated commuter delays. To justify the five-day suspension, the Carrier points to the claimant's poor prior attendance record.

According to Rule C-1, the Carrier may discipline the **claimant** for cause. In this case, the Carrier has failed to show sufficient cause to assess discipline against the **claimant**. The claimant could not foresee and had no control over the disruption in public transportation on April 21, 1978. If the trains had been running without delay, claimant would have reported to work on time. The claimant, to a certain extent, must rely on public transportation, and it is unreasonable to hold her accountable for wholly unanticipated delays. Thus, even though claimant was late on April 21, 1978, her tardiness is excused. Award Number 23421 Docket Number CL-23288 Page 2

The **Carrier may** consider the claimant's prior attendance record in determining the proper **amount** of discipline. However, because claimant's failure to protect her assignment is excused, in this instance, the Carrier was precluded from assessing any discipline against the claimant. Rule C-l(f) specifies the proper remedy. The claimant shell be reimbursed to cover wages she lost during the five-day suspension et the rate of pay in effect et the time she served the suspension.

<u>FINDINGS</u>: The **Third** Division of the Adjustment Board, after giving the parties to this dispute due notice of **hearing** thereon, end upon the whole record end all the evidence, finds end holds:

That the Carrier end the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier end Employe within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, es approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; end

That the Agreement was violated.

<u>award</u>'

Claim sustained as set forth in the Opinion.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENTBOARD

By Order of Third Division

Attest: Executive**Secretar**

Dated et Chicago, Illinois, this 3rd day of November 1981.

