## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

Award Number 23442
Docket Number CL-23431

## THIRD DIVISION

Joseph A. Sickles, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Maine Central Railroad Company ( Portland Terminal Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (CL-9272) that:

- 1. Carrier violated the current Clerks' Agreement dated March 1, 1953, as emended, when it used M. J. Hartery, Yard Clerk, 7 AM to 3 PM, to cover vacant yard clerks's position, Bengor, Maine, Saturday, May 28, 1977.
- 2. Carrier shall compensate claimant M.J. Hartery, the higher rate of pay. His regular position rated \$50.04 per day and the position he was instructed to perform rated \$52.4148, difference of \$2.3748 should be paid.
- 3. Carrier shall compensate claimant eight (8) hours' pay due to being diverted to said vacancy.

OPINION OF BOARD: On the day in question, Yard Clerk, **Spellman** was on vacation, and **Yard** Clerk, Hartery (who worked the same shift and the sane hours) was instructed to cover the vacant assignment when he reported for **duty.** The rate for the absent clerkwas \$52.4148 per day, whereas the Claimant's rate was \$50.04 per day.

The claimant seeks, in Claim No. 2, the difference in pay for the day, as well as 8 hours of pay (in Claim No. 3) for being diverted to said vacancy.

We are inclined to **find** that the Carrier violated Rule **15** by not **paying** the **Employe** the higher rate of the two positions for the **day** in question, and we will sustain **Claim** No. 2. We **find** no basis in the record for a sustaining award for **Claim** No. 3.

FINDINGS: The **Third** Division of the **Adjustment** Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Fmployes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Iabor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was violated.

## A W A R D

Claim No. 2 is sustained, as stated in the Opinion of Board.

Claims No. 1 and 3 are denied.

a.W. Paulos

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
By Order of Third Division

ATTEST:

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 3rd day of November 1981.