And John

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23453 Docket Number MS-23476

A. Robert Lowry, Referee

(Bonnie L. Holland

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul **and** Pacific (Railroad Company

STATEMENT 0 F CIAIM: "Statement of Fact: Co November 25, 1979, I returned to Tacoma, Washington, because of a family illness which I considered an emergency. I notified the carrier by letter at my earliest opportunity on Wednesday, November 28, 1979, that I had returned to Tacoma, Washington, account of a family emergency. I also informed the carrier that I felt it necessary that I relinquish my position as steno/clerk in the Division Manager's office in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. I also included my forwarding address. In reply I received a letter frw the carrier stating that I was on unauthorized leave of absence and that as a result of my relinquishing my permanent position, I had forfeited my seniority rights in all seniority districts..

I feel that the **first** paragraph of my **original** letter to Mr. McKegney automatically placed me on leave of absence as per rule 25, paragraph (a) which states that an employee detained **from** work because of sickness or personal injury of himself or an immediate member of his family will be regarded es on leave of absence and his return to service will be governed by the provisions of Rule 23 (e).

My first day on position #34110 was October 17, 1979. I **actually** worked the position 24 days, was off sick 3 days, and was paid 1 holiday, my last day being November 23, 1979.

I feel that I have been **unjustly** dismissed and am asking for reinstatement of **all** seniority rights on both the **Was**.ing**ton** and Wisconsin Division."

Award Number 23453 Docket Number MS-23476

OPINION OF BOARD:

Ms. Bonnie L. Holland, the Claimant, was regularly assigned:
Steno-Clerk Position No. 34110. She last worked the position on November 23, 1979 and has been absent without proper authority since that date.

The record clearly shows Claimant never presented a grievance to Carrier under the applicable agreement, nor did she attempt to handle the claim in the usual manner on the property. The claim, therefore, was not handled as required by Section 3, First (1) of the Railway Labor Act and Circular No. 1 of this Board. See Awards 17166, 19620, 19709, 20574, 21440 and 23023.

The claim must be dismissed.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier end **Employes** within the meaning of the Railway **Labor** Act, **as** approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of **the** Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the claim be dismissed.

AWARD

Claimdismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

Attest:

Executive Secretary

a.W. Paulos

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 8th day of December 1981.