NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

Award Number 23498 Docket Number M1-23382

THIRD DIVISION

Joseph A. Sickles, Referee

Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Seaboard Coast Line Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

- (1) The carrier violated the Agreement when, without a conference having been held between the Assistant Vice President, Engineering and Maintenance of Way and the General Chairman as required by Rule 2, it assigned work of the Maintenance of Way end Structures Department, in the vicinity of Boston and Thomasville, Georgia, to outside forces on September 27, October 9, 10, 11, 12 and 17, 1978 (System File 37-SCI-77-63/12-2(79-6) J1).
- (2) Because of the violation referred to above, each member assigned to Section Force #6018 at Thomasville, Georgia, vho holds seniority in the **Track** Subdepartment, Group A, Atlanta-Weycross Division Seniority District (es listed below) be paid an equal proportionate share at the appliable Class III Machine Operator's rate of pay for forty-eight (48) hours and en equal proportionate share at the applicable Trackman's rate of pay for forty-eight (48) hours, for e total of ninety-six (96) man hours consumed by the Contractor in performing the work referred to in Part (1) hereof.

claimants

Id. **#135387** Foreman William Bruce Id. #138484 Trackman Johnnie Lovejoy F. McCrary, Sr. Id. #164337 Trackman L. w. Harris Id. #159667 Trackman"

OPINION OF BOARD: Carrier leased a rubber-tired back hoe front end loader on certain dates because all of Its equipment of this type was involved in other projects. The leasing Company insisted that its employe operate the equipment. In addition a laborer, in the employ of the leasing company, assisted the operator in performing paving wrk.

In the Rebuttal Statement, Carrier concedes that this disputeis identical to the claim decided in Award 22917 except for the claim for pay for the laborer, who according to Carrier, performed no track work.

We feel that Award 22917 clearly controls this case end dictates e sustaining Award. We do note, however - es did the author of Award 22917 that e higher compensation had been made to a Carrier employe es an Operator end thus, no further payment is required in that regard. No such payments were made, however, concerning the laborer. We are **not** able to accept the distinctions end contentions advanced by Carrier concerning his conceded use, end thus we do direct payment of the claim es it involves the laborer.

AwardNumber 23498 Docket Number MW-23382

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds end holds:

That the parties waived oral. hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, es approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was violated.

A W A R D

Claim sustained in accordance with the Opinion.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD
By Order of Third Division

ATTEST: AW. Paulow

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 8th day of January 1982.

