## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23501. Docket Number MW-23770

Paul C. Carter, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Chesapeake and Ohio Railway Company ( (Southern Region)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Cluim of the Syst :m Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) The **dismissal of Track**in Clarence Keathley for **alleged** assault was unwarranted, without Just and **sufficient cause and** on the basis of unproven charges(System File C-D-880/MG-2685).

(2) **Trackman** Clarence Keathley shall be reinstated with **seniority** and all other rights unimpaired and be **compensated** for all wage loss suffered."

<u>OPINION OF BOARD</u>: The claimant herein was a trackman with about two and one-half years of **service** with the Carrier at the time of the occurrence **giving rise** to the claim. On November 20, 1979, claimant was notified:

> "Arrange to attend a hearing in the office of Manager-Engineering, 14501 % est McNichols Road, Detroit, Michigan, at 1:00 P.M., Friday, Lecember 14, 1979.

> "You are charged with assaulting your acting foreman, at approximately 9:15 AM, Wednesday, November 28, 1979, in the Fullerton Section House, Detroit, Michigan.

"Arrange for representation and/orwitnesses, if desired.

"Please acknowledge receipt of this letter."

The hearing was held as scheduled and a copy of the transcript has been made a part of the record. Claimant was present throughout the investigation and was represented. **Claimant** was notified on December 27, 1979, of his dismissal from the service.

We find that none of claimant's substantive procedural rights wes violated in the charge, the Investigation, or in the appeal on the property. While there were conflicts in the testimony at the Investigation, it is well settled that this Board does not weigh evidence, attempt to resolve conflicts therein, or pass upon the credibility of witnesses. Such functions are reserved to the hearing officer. We find that there was substantial evidence adduced at the investigagion in support of the charge against the claimant.His dismissalfron the service was not arbitrary, capricious or in bad faith. There is no proper basis for the Board to interfere with the discipline imposed.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the **parties** waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the **Adjustment** Board has **jurisdiction over** the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

a.W. Paulos ATTEST:

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 29th day of January 1982.



Page 2