NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23x8
Docket Number SC-23497

George S. Roukis, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(Burlington Northern Inc.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the General Committee of the Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen on the Burlington Northern. Inc:

On behalf of Mr.-J. J. Wilkowski, seniority dated April 1, 1947, CTC Signal Maintainer, Brainerd, Minnesota, account violation of the current Signalmen's Agreement, particularly Rule 22-A, when Mr. G. L. Flagan, Regional Signal Engineer, deliberately and erroneously awarded the position Of Signal Inspector to a Junior employee, Mr. C. J. Rutten, seniority dated October 14, 1963."

(General Chairman file: TC-79-204. Carrier file: SI-6(c)-3 11/14/79)

OPINION OF BOARD: The essential facts in this ease are undisputed. Claimant was on vacation from August 13,1979 to August 18, 1979 and returned to work on August 20, 1979. On this day, he received Employment Bulletin No. 56-79-TC-P, dated August 16, 1979, announcing the Signal Inspector's position at Staples, Minnesota and stating that bids would be accepted until 12:00 midnight on August 29, 1979. Claimant submitted his bid for this position by U.S. Mail on August 22, 1979 but it was not, received by the Regional Engineer-Signals Office until August 27, 1979. Claimant contends that Carrierviolated the controlling Agreement, particularly Rule 22(A), when it awarded the position to a junior employe since he complied with the applicable bid notification procedures

Rule 22(A) provides:

"Seniority shall consist of rights based on relative length of service of employees as hereinafter provided and may be exercised only when vacancies occur, new positions are created or in reduction in force. Seniority shall be confined to the Chicago, Twin Cities, Omaha, Billings, Seattle and Portland Districts as described in Rule 21 of this Agreement."

Carrier contends that it **complied** with the **Agreement** since **it was** compelled by Article 41(B) thereof **to** accept **only** those applications that were received on or before **12:00 midnight** on the 8th day following date of **bulletin;** which was August **24,1979** for the **Signal Inspector's** position. This rule reads:

"(B) Applications for positions advertised by bulletin must be received by the officer whose name appears on the bulletin on or before 12:00 midnight on the 8th day following date of bulletin and assignment made on next regular semi-nonthly bulletin."

In our review of this case, we concur with **Carrier's** position. Rule **22(A)** which is at issue in this dispute **does not** provide any basis for concluding that it was violated. It is a **seniority rule**, **which does not ad**dress, either directly or inferentially, the violation asserted in Claimant's petition and we are **constrained** by our appellate authority **from** interpolating by judicial construction, language thatwould change this rule. There is no language **in** this rule which **covers** the nature of the violation cited in the instant claim and thus **we** must **deny** it.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this **Division** of the **Adjustment** Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved **herein**; and

That, the Agreement was not violated.

AW A R D

Claim denied.

NAUTONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division 2: W. Pauls

ATTEST:

Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 29th day of January 1982.

