## NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

## THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23555
Docket Number CL-23783

Rodney E. Dennis, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express ad Station Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

(The Washington Terminal Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (GL-9317)that:

(a) The Carrier violated the Rules Agreement, effective July 1, 1972, particularly Article 18, when It assessed discipline of one (1) day's suspension on T. ?. Coates, Vacation Relief Clerk, Washington, D. C., on February 7, 1979.

(b) Claimant Coates' record be cleared of the charges brought against her and she be compensated for wage loss sustained in accordance with the provisions of Article 18(e).

OPINION OF BOARD: Claimant T. P. Coates was absent from work on January 10, 19, 20 and 24, 1979. On January 25, 1979, Carrier notified Claimant that a hearing into the matter of her absences would be held on February 1, 1979. The charges to be reviewed were violation of Washington Terminal Company General Rule 0 ("no employee will be absent from duty, have a substitute perform his duties...").

At the conclusion of the hearing, **Claimant was** found **guilty** and assessed a **one-day suspension.** That **suspension was appealed** and the grievance has been placed before this **Board** for resolution.

The Board has reviewed the transcript of the hearing and the record of this case. It is our opinion that/Claimant properly reported off sick and that Carrier made no objections to her being absent at the time she reported off. The record does not reveal that Claimant was in any way malingering or that she was not legimately ill when she reported off. While the Board does not support absenteeism and we have taken the position that Carrier ha6 a right to expect that employes will show up for work on a regular basis, we cannot support Carrier in this instance. Claimant was sick; she reported off in the proper manner. Carrier was not justified under these conditions in charging Claimant with a violation of Rule O, finding her guilty end assessing her a one-day suspension.

Carrier approved Claimant's absence. No objections were reised and the record does not contain any indication that Carrier informed Claimant that she was in violation of any rule when she reported off. Carrier has no basis that is revealed in this record to discipline Claimant.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement was violated.

A W A R D

Claim sustained.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest: Acting Executive Secretary

National Railroad Adjustment Board

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 10th day of March 1982.

marie Brasch - Administrative Assistant