NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRDDIVISION

Award Number 23574

Docket Number CL-23776

Herbert Fishgold, Referee

(Brotherhood of Railway, Airline and Steamship Clerks, Freight Handlers, Express and Station Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

Elgin, Jeliet and Eastern Railway Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood (G'-9358)that:

- 1. Carrier violated the effective Clerks' Agreement when, on May 28, 1979, it required and/or permitted an outelder, not covered by the Agreement to perform janitorial duties reserved for employee covered by the scope of the Agreement and performed by Ms. D. Chyzy, incumbent of Position CT-266 during her regular work week:
- 2. Carrier shall now compensate Ms. Daisy Chyzy eight (8)hours pay at the time and one-half rate of Position CT-266 for May 28, 1979.

The Carrier maintains facilities in South Chicago, Illinois, primarily to serve the South Works of U. S. Steel Corporation.

Included in these facilities is a building known as the Central Ordering Point (C.O.P.) Building, which is leased in part to U. S./Steel and, as a provision ofthatlease, specified that maintenance and cleaning will be provided by the Carrier. The building is used joir tlyasofficespace for U. S. Steel's Transportation Department and for the Carrier's computer Input Station as well as offices for Carrier officials, and is manned by both U. S. Steel and Carrier comployes on a 24-hour per day, seven-day per week basis.

The Claimant is anincumbent of Position GT-266, whose janitorial duties include the C.O.P. Building. Position GT-266 is a six-day position with assigned hours from 7:00 A.M. to 3:00P.M. and rest days of Saturday and Sunday. May 28, 1979wnsaholiday (Memorial Day) and Carrier elected not to vork Position GT-266 that day.

Mr. D. Thompson, asupervisor of U. S. Steel Transportation Department, apparently determined that the C.O.P. Building was dirty and required cleaning, and directed 8 janitor employed by U. S. Steel at another location to sweep the office and empty trash containers. This work was performed on May 28, 1979, beginning at approximately 1:00 P.M.

The Organization c. aims that Corrier violated Rules 1 (Scope), 42 (Overtime) and 43(Notified or Called) 01 the Agreement between the parties by failing to afford Claimant the opportunity to work when duties of her position, which are exalusively reserved for an employe covered by the scope of the Agreement between tie parties, was required andwee performed by anemploye of !I. S. Steel on the date claimed.

The Carrier, on its part, maintains that the work was performed by U.S. Steel personnel in offices exclusively used and controlled by U.S. Steel Corporation without any involvement orknowledge by the Carrier. Furthermore, Carrier argues that the Organization did not file a claim until 34 days later, which was too late for the Carrier to have taken any corrective action.

There is nodispute that the work was performed by an employe of U. S. Steel Corporation, and that the work is covered by the scope of the collective bargaining Agreement and is part of the regular assignment of Position GT-266. However, the evidence clearly shove that no Carrier official authorized, permitted or knew of the U.S. Steel employe performing janitorial services on the day in question, nor did the Organization advise the Carrier of this incident on the dey in question so that corrective action could have been taken. In addition there was no Indication, that this Issue occurred other than on the day in question.

Under the particular circumstances herein, where the Carrier had no direct or indirect involvement or knowledge, and noting that it was an isolated occurrence, the Board is of the opinion that the Carrier did not violate the Agreement.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the AdjustmentBoard, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties vaived oral hearing;

Thet the **Carrier** end the **Employes** Involved in this **dispute** ere respectively **Carrier and Employes within** the **meaning of** the Railway **Labor Act**, as **approved** June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the Agreement wee not violated.

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest: Acting Executive Secretar/

National Railroad Adjustment Board

Rosemarie Brash - Administrative Assistant

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 10th day of March 1982.