NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23842 Docket Number TD-23772

Joseph A. Sickles, Referee

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (American Train Dispatchers Association (Southern Railway Company

STATEMENT OF **CLAIM: Sixty** (60)days suspension imposed on **Train Dispatcher** T. J. **Glissen** following hearing on August **28,1978**be removed from his personal record and request that he be compensated for all time lost.

<u>OPINION OF BOARD</u>: On August **23,1979,** the Claimant was notified of an investigation for running a train at a time when the track had not been cleared. Subsequent to the investigation, the **Claimant** was suspended for **sixty (60)** days.

A Track Supervisor (Presley) had permission **to occupy** a designated track, and that permission was noted in a log book and by "plugs" in the controlling points on the console, to assure that protection is afforded to the individual who is occupying the track.

Nonetheless, a $C\!\!\!\!$ of train was allowed to proceed on the track (through the **limits** held by Presley) by the Claimant, even though the track had not been released by Presley. Presley indicates that he made several attempts to contact the Dispatcher to obtain additional time on the track, which was to expire at 6:01 p.m., however, he was unable to make contact.

As we read the record as a whole, we do not conclude that the Claimant seeks full exoneration, and he seems to recognize that there was a degree of negligence on his part. Nonetheless, it is urged that the discipline imposed was unrealistic under the circumstances, especially when one recognizes that the Dispatcher relies primarily on mechanical protection afforded by the 'plugs" blocking the control levers to "...prevent the entry of conflicting movements into the protected area." However, the plugs do not indicate the number of obstructions in the area, so that there is some reliance upon written records and memory involved.

Under all of the circumstances, the Board is of the view that the discipline imposed here was excessive, inasmuch as the Board concludes that the Claimant's action was neither a deliberate dereliction or gross negligence. Accordingly, we will reduce the suspension to thirty (30) days.

Award Number 23842 Docket Number ID-23772

Page 2

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the **parties** waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the Employes involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and Employes within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934;

That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involvedherein; and

That the discipline was excessive.

AWARD

Claim sustained in accordance with the Opinion.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest: Acting Executive Secretary
National Railroad Adjustment Board

By posemarie Brasch - Administrative Assistant

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 26thday of March 1982.

