NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 23958 Docket Number MM-23936

Ida Klaus, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

(Seaboard Coast Line Railroad Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

(1) The **discipline** imposed upon **Trackman** J. B. Stephens (*letters of caution' **dated** January **4 and 5, 1979**) was without just **and** sufficient cause (System File C-4 (13) -JBS/12-39(79-32) J).

(2) Said 'letters of caution' **shall be** expunded from the **claimant's** personal record and he shall be compensated for **all wage** loss suffered end for **all** expenses **incurred** attending the **hearing** held on January **29, 1979.**"

OPINION OF **BOARD**: The **Claimant** protests that two letters of caution for his alleged failure to obtain permission for absence on each of two days, or to furnish proof of his inability to do so, were issued without just cause.

Two issues are presented to the **Board:** (1) Whether the letters of caution constitute **disciplinary** action; (2) whether there is substantial **evi- dence** of e **probative nature** to support the **issuance** of the letters. We answer both in the affirmative.

In the opinion of the **Board**, the letters of caution must be deemed to be disciplinary in nature. Their inclusion in the Claimant's **personal** record reasonably indicates that they will be **used** as e base for the imposition of **further** discipline for future **infractions**. The Carrier evidently **believed** them to be disciplinary when It granted the **Claimant's** request for e hearing.

With respect to the second issue, It is undisputed that the **Claimant** hed the **opportunity** to properly **justify** his asserted **inability** to **report** the absences but that he failed to do so. From that significant **fact and** from the testimony accepted as credible by the **Carrier**, the Board concludes that the record affords substantial support of e probative nature for the letters of caution.

The claim will be denied.

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

1

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Eoard, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

Award Number23958 Docket Number MN-23936

That the **Carrier and the Enployes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier end **Enployes** within the meaning of the Railway **Labor** Act, es approved June 21, **1934**;

That this Division of the Adjustment **Board** has jurisdiction over the dispute **involved herein;** end

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

claim denied.

NATIONALRAILROADADJUSTMENTBOARD

By **Order** of Third Division

ATTEST: Acting Executive Secretary National Railroad Adjustment Board

By Administrative Assistant emar

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 16th day of August 1982.

